



DUNCAN WOOD

OBJECT

Submission ID: 210572

Organisation: N/A	Key issues: <i>Other issues</i>
Location: <i>New South Wales 2577</i>	
Attachment: N/A	

Submission date: 10/31/2024 11:45:46 AM

I attended a Public Forum at council on 30 October 2024. The proponent's consultant was the sole speaker. The council then passed a motion unanimously that confirms there is no support for the proposal from Wingecarribee Shire Council.

My observations - which I was unable to give due to an administrative error by council - are as follows:-

- 1. If proper due diligence had been carried out at the site assessment stage than this site would have been ruled out. The proponent had admitted that site cost was the sole driver for the purchase of the land. Any later claims that other sites were considered is not correct.*
- 2. The operations at the site remain ill-defined. We understand the concept of the plant but this is not fully developed. The application has been with DPHI since October 2020 and the consultant has not adequately developed documentation and supporting reports. Rather they have made token responses to the raft of issues raised by the community.*
- 3. If a Building Code of Australia report had been provided we would see that the size of the facility would not meet Deemed to Satisfy provisions in terms of travel distances, distance between exits and many other clauses. This would require a Fire Engineering Report to be prepared. The report would identify required additional fire fighting services to be provided to ensure safe egress in case of fire. The buildings are likely to be classified as a Large Isolated Building. Sprinkler protection would be required to all areas (increased density due to the nature of the products in the building).*
- 4. I have extensive experience in similar projects and, in those, the water discharged into the building has to be retained inside the building, for future removal by a specialist contractor. No contaminated water can be discharged to sewer This is to protect the environment.*
- 5. A further observation is that the site would need to have sufficient fire fighting water storage to allow the NSS Fire and Rescue to be able to tackle a fire at the site. A further requirement would be to demonstrate, in the case of a fire incident, that the contaminated water is not allowed to enter the water courses - and make its way into the waterways leading to the water treatment plant. If the water is sufficient this would overwhelm the entire system and spread contamination across the adjoining properties.*