



**SARAH SPEERIN**

**OBJECT**

Submission ID: 0

Organisation: N/A	Key issues: <i>Social impacts, Land use compatibility (surrounding land uses), Traffic, Other issues</i>
Location: <i>New South Wales</i>	
Attachment: N/A	

Submission date: 11/20/2024 8:16:00 AM

*Good morning*

*I draw your attention to GHD's letters dated 18th November.*

*First letter with revised details of the time it takes for roller doors to open and shut from 5 hours to 42 minutes!!!*

*Will you please insist on a real-life simulation trial with 19m trucks and fast acting roller doors to ascertain once and for all how long the doors will be open in a 24/7 day.*

*Incidentally, how do the employees enter the buildings and how do materials move between building 1 and building 2 if there are no other points of air entry that will affect the "negative air pressure"?*

*It seems to me that the trucks are being asked to manoeuvre in confined spaces?*

*It doesn't matter how shielded the plastic is by waste water tanks, when a strong wind meets an immovable object, it becomes very turbulent.*

*GHD plans are constructed round a perfect day with perfect weather and perfect operations and completely ignore human intervention. Perhaps you can see why the community is so frustrated. GHD plans are inconsistent and constantly changing to suit their desired outcomes but not the community or the shire. It is impossible to assess this proposal when the professionals are not providing the correct information up front and it makes you question if they have given it proper attention or just making up as they go along. This SSD is too important to not to be researched fully and properly. It will be a travesty of justice if Plasrefine is given the green light.*

*Second letter regarding the community misunderstanding GHD when we were told to stay inside to avoid fallout, that is another example of GHD whitewashing their ineptitude. It certainly was said along with other remarks which completely disrespected the community. We were also told it was up to the community to police the project. Meanwhile, what has GHD got to lose, nothing, they get paid regardless of outcome. They walk away squeaky clean leaving the community and Council to mop up their mess.*

*The community that has had to incur its own personal cost to ensure the whole community has been engaged properly to assess this proposal that is simply not in the right location.*



**New South Wales Government**  
Independent Planning Commission

*Regards*

*Sarah Speerin*

*Sent from my iPhone*

---