

GEORGE COPELAND

Submission ID: 204804

Organisation: N/A

Location: New South Wales

Supporting materials uploaded: N/A

Submission date: 10/13/2024 12:27:53 PM

Topic 1. Sustainability of current and future forestry operations in NSW

Current and projected apallingly greedy, short-sighted, hypocritical approach to the destruction and extinction of native forests and native animals. NSW govt in association with public and private forestry operations - cannot trust the honesty of these 3 entities.
Focus should shift totally to the development and harvesting of plantation timbers.

Topic 2. Environmental and cultural values of forests, including threatened species and Aboriginal cultural heritage values

Current and projected apallingly greedy, short-sighted, hypocritical approach to the destruction and extinction of cultural and heritage values

Topic 3. Demand for timber products, particularly as relates to NSW housing, construction, mining, transport and retail

Current and projected apallingly greedy, short-sighted, hypocritical approach to the destruction and extinction of native forests and native animals can be resolved by the development and harvesting of plantation timbers.

Topic 4. The future of softwood and hardwood plantations and the continuation of Private Native Forestry in helping meet timber supply needs

Fully support plantation timber for supply needs. Avoid current apallingly greedy, short-sighted, hypocritical approach to native timber

Topic 5. The role of State Forests in maximising the delivery of a range of environmental, economic and social outcomes and options for diverse management, including Aboriginal forest management models

Yes, that would be good wouldn't it. It's a pity they hide their devious intentions.

We know State Forests are losing taxpayer money at the same time as losing the natural environment.

Follow the money - it seem that they are being sucked in by private interests. Regulation, laws and taxation needs to change the direction of the money, but the NSW Govt is too cowardly to do what most of us know is common sense if we want to change the behaviour of State Forests and private forestry.

Topic 6. Opportunities to realise carbon and biodiversity benefits and support carbon and biodiversity markets, and mitigate and adapt to climate change risks, including the greenhouse gas emission impacts of different uses of forests and assessment of climate change risks to forests

All sounds good doesn't it?

Again can't trust the published benefits because the measure of greenhouse emission impacts is poorly done and looks like it contributes to emissions, not reduce emissions.