

From: Margie Bailie [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 31 January 2023 5:46 PM
To: IPCN Submissions Mailbox
Subject: Submission regarding new information on the Martins Creek Quarry and voicing my OPPOSITION

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I have previously submitted my concern over Daricon's proposal for the Martins Creek Quarry. Since then and with not much time to voice my concerns over the new proposals put forward late December 2022, I am again voicing my concerns. And I wonder how many more times I'll have to do this ! Why are the hundreds of concerns being ignored?

This quarry has been operating a long time and to say there was no objection way back when it started is ridiculous and insulting to our intelligence. I've been a resident of Paterson since 1982. This town and district has grown enormously since then.

The new proposals are still full of flaws and a bandaid solution with no proof of what is being proposed.

Where is the new parking going to be I ask? Surely not the vacant block next to the cafe? That steep block that runs down to the river?? Picture an elderly lady or gentleman with a walking stick trying to exit a car on a slope!! How can it be possible that the structure of our town be altered to line the pockets of a company thinking in dollars only. A company that appears not to give a damn about the voices of this community . Since when has Paterson belonged to Daricon?

And what are the new road changes going to entail? Is the corner in front of the Post Office going to be altered? Some days it's almost impossible to find a park in town - this is a busy town and to limit our parking to suit the trucks is incomprehensible.

I'm a cyclist and cycle twice a week in a group of 8 or more. We cycle during the week and NEVER on weekends. I resent being told to cycle on weekends to suit the truck drivers.

If anyone bothered to take proper notice instead of making assumptions, he or she would see that most of the cyclists are people no longer working.

There's talk (and there's a lot of talk I feel with no real proof) of a 40km speed limit through the village which is still too high considering the size of the trucks. Are trucks able to stop quickly for a pedestrian crossing at that speed.

Reducing the number of trucks slightly, professing to avoid school hours, funerals and ALL the other new amended proposals put forward - to me are bandaid solutions. There is still the railway. That is to me the only solution to this whole environmental disaster about to happen. I agree the quarry product is necessary but not to the ruination of a town and it's folk. It is absolutely shameful in this day and age. And to suggest another 25 years is frightening. What other amendments are going to take place down the track?

All these new proposals are just that - proposals!!
The railway is there and for the future of the quarry. Daricon should pay to have this upgraded.

I ABSOLUTELY OPPOSE THE THE NEW PROPOSALS PUT FORWARD

Yours sincerely
Margie Baillie
[REDACTED]
Paterson

Sent from my iPad