To Whom It May Concern

The additional information has been reviewed. Based on the information provided the significant European and Aboriginal heritage concerns cannot be ignored. The economic and social value of allowing additional mining for 24 extra years do not outweigh additional disturbance of Aboriginal relics in situ following the cumulative impact of the existing mining in the area. Additionally, applying a common sense approach, there is suggestion that the Homestead is of considerable heritage value, not simply local significance. It may be the only remaining example. The impact of mining 500m away from an item of this value surely will significantly diminish its value, context and potentially impact the overall value. When considering the heritage value of the location and the cumulative impact on that heritage to date the proposal cannot be supported when considering the public interest.

In response to the economic information provided, it cannot be considered in isolation from broader local economic considerations. Locally we are seeing the significant economic and social impacts that ongoing reliance on fossil fuels is resulting in. Expanding the operations as proposed will not improve this impact. The short term economic and social value will be negligible and not be a sustainable outcome for the community or the company.

I implore the Panel to consider the additional information within the context of the matter and reject the applicant's proposal.

Kind Regards

--

Emily Davies O'Sullivan