From: Isd

**Sent:** Thursday, 20 May 2021 3:23 PM

**To:** IPCN Enquiries Mailbox

**Subject:** Fw: Objection to new HARBOURSIDE REDEVELOPMENT (SSD 7874)

TO: IPC

Date: 20 May 2021

Dear Director of IPC,

HARBOURSIDE REDEVELOPMENT (SSD 7874)

Thank you kindly for allowing us to write our responses to Mirva's latest proposal! I'm a resident of one darling Harbour.

This is not a high-quality park as claimed to allow people to linger and dwell or allow children with their parents to sit and watch the many public events and celebrate great days like Australia Day or New Year's Eve in Darling Harbour. The IPC vision was for an open space of some 160 x 40 m whereby you could have open cinema, a play area for children, an exercise place, a place to view events and celebrate occasions in Darling Harbour. Something that might have been truly iconic has turned out to be but a dream because it is not VIABLE according to the Mirvac security holders and the CEO of Mirvac.

Notwithstanding the removal of the overhang to the foreshore, the new proposal for the northern podium still unreasonably impacts on the surrounding area, particularly the public domain at the foreshore and the heritage Pyrmont Bridge, and is not commensurate in bulk and scale with neighbouring Cockle Bay redevelopment providing two inconsistent characters of addressing the Cockle Bay basin. The lowering of the northern podium further would enhance a contemporise high a quality domain which is not overshadowed and provide for improved water's edge experience.

It is simply not correct that some residents in One Darling Harbour will be permanently adversely affected by Mirvac's proposed option. Mirvac, being a large company of good corporate citizen,

could take more positive actions in the resolution of the view-sharing problems with the residents. There are many senior residents spending a lot of times at home and enjoying the current views when they bought into the building. Putting a massive podium in front of their homes would be devastating, not to mention the substantial financial loss they might suffer.

I encourage IPC to find a solution that allows for the development of Harbourside to achieve its potential while expanding and enhancing and improving the public domain and publicly accessible areas while ensuring that view sharing are equitable.

| Kindest regards, |   |  |  |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| Sanding Liang    |   |  |  |
| ×                | J |  |  |
|                  |   |  |  |