From: Geoff Moore
To: Dennis Lee

Subject: RE: Information to the IPCN

Date: Friday, 13 September 2019 2:08:47 PM

Attachments: image001.png image002.png

image002.png image003.png image004.png image005.png

Hi Dennis

In relation to the questions by the IPCN detailed in your email of 10 September, we note that the information requested could not be provided by the 13 September due to the short timeframe as per to our phone discussion on 11 September. Following engagement of our consultants to provide some of the information requested, we can now confirm that we will be able to provide a response to all of the information requested by cob 18 September.

Regards



Please note: If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this transmission together with any attachments as the information contained and any attached files may be confidential and/or subject of legal professional privilege.

From: Dennis Lee [mailto:Dennis.Lee@ipcn.nsw.gov.au]

Sent: Tuesday, 10 September 2019 3:07 PM

To: Geoff Moore

Subject: RE: Information to the IPCN

Hi Geoff,

Thank you for the confirmation on the commercial-in-confidence material. In line with Commission Policy, this will not be published on the website, however I will publish our correspondence so it is transparent that there is a report, that it is commercial in confidence, and why it is held commercial-in-confidence.

Thank you again for providing the mine plans for options 1 and 2 until 2030. The Commission has further questions on the mine plans, would you please be able to provide a response to these by COB Friday 13 September 2019?

1) The mine plan shows the proposed plan for each year until 2030, with a legend on the maps showing 'disturbed areas' and 'rehabilitated areas'. Would you please clarify the definition of 'disturbed areas' and 'rehabilitated areas'? For example, have 'disturbed areas' been shaped into a final landform without vegetation growth? Do 'rehabilitated areas' have vegetation growth that is self-sustained?

This would help the assessment of rehabilitation risk in the event of unplanned care and maintenance/closure.

2) The mine plan shows the proposed plan for each year until 2030, and then jumps to the final landform. The Commission requested the proposed plan for each of the 21 years. The Commission understands from your email that from 2030, there is no difference between Option 1 and Option 2, however the Commission would like to consider the mine plan for the full 21 years. Would you please be able to provide the mine plan for each year for the full 21 years?

- 3) The Commission understands that the Application requires a further mining lease (mining lease 487). Would you please be able to confirm if this Mining Lease is required for both Option 1 and Option 2, or only for Option 2?
- 4) The Commission understands that information has been provided to DPIE/EES on conceptual staging of surface disturbance for both Option 1 and Option 2. A portion of this information has been included in DPIE's Recommended Conditions (Condition B42 and Appendix 5) of the DPIE Final Assessment Report SSD 6300. Would you please provide the Commission with a table and mapping of the conceptual staged surface disturbance for both Option 1 and Option 2?

Regards,

Dennis Lee | Team Leader

Independent Planning Commission NSW
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street Sydney NSW 2000
e: dennis.lee@ipcn.nsw.gov.au | p: +61 2 9995 6331 | www.ipcn.nsw.gov.au



New South Wales Government

Independent Planning Commission





Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From: Geoff Moore

Sent: Monday, 9 September 2019 11:53 AM **To:** Dennis Lee < <u>Dennis.Lee@ipcn.nsw.gov.au</u>>

Subject: RE: Information to the IPCN

Hi Dennis

Thank you for enquiring about the issue with commercial-in-confidence nature of the material. There is certainly no issue with the mine plans. In considering further the Agricultural Productivity Assessment, the report discusses the land practices of the current person who agists the property, stocking rates and net income that could be considered commercial in confidence. We would appreciate it if this report is not placed on the website.

Regards



Please note: If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this transmission together with any attachments as the information contained and any attached files may be confidential and/or subject of legal professional privilege.

From: Dennis Lee [mailto:Dennis.Lee@ipcn.nsw.gov.au]

Sent: Monday, 9 September 2019 9:15 AM

To: Geoff Moore

Subject: RE: Information to the IPCN

Hi Geoff.

Thank you for your email and the additional information. I am confirming receipt of the email and two attachments, and I will pass this onto the Commissioners for their consideration.

I intend to publish both of the mine plan and the agricultural survey onto the website and wanted to confirm this is okay and doesn't contain any commercial-in-confidence material before doing so.

Regards,

Dennis Lee | Team Leader

Independent Planning Commission NSW Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street Sydney NSW 2000 e: dee@ipcn.nsw.gov.au | p: +61 2 9995 6331 | www.ipcn.nsw.gov.au



New South Wales Government

Independent Planning Commission





Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From: Geoff Moore

Sent: Friday, 6 September 2019 5:16 PM **To:** Dennis Lee < <u>Dennis.Lee@ipcn.nsw.gov.au</u>>

Subject: Information to the IPCN

Dear Dennis

Thank you for your email today. We had been aiming for a response to the IPCN today. I note that based on when the planning for the Rix's Creek Continuation Project was undertaken, mine scheduling packages were not as advanced as currently available, the work to generate plans as requested was much more involved than would be the case with contemporary mine planning packages and a digital model is not an option in the timeframe involved. Spatial files can be supplied for the areas depicted in the slides provided.

We have presented the plans for the first 10 years for Option 1 and Option 2. Post this time, the North Pit, South Pit and Western OEA's are all completed and there is no difference between Option 1 and Option 2 from this point.

Please find attached a pdf of a presentation showing year by year comparisons between Option 1 and Option 2. The presentation includes a progressive rehabilitation table for both options with the cumulative rehabilitation totals commencing from the start of the options.

We have had the opportunity to undertake an Agricultural Productivity Assessment of the area of the proposed Western OEA for Option 2. This report is included for information.

Regards



Geoff Moore

Chief Development Officer

W: www.bloomcoll.com.au

WE CARE, WE DELIVER.

Four Mile Creek Road, Ashtonfield NSW 2323 Australia

Please note: If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this transmission together with any attachments as the information contained and any attached files may be confidential and/or subject of legal professional privilege

From: Dennis Lee [mailto:Dennis.Lee@ipcn.nsw.gov.au]

Sent: Friday, 6 September 2019 11:04 AM

To: Geoff Moore

Subject: RE: Information to the IPCN

Hi Geoff,

I am following up the email below. Would you please be able to provide this to the Commission Monday 09 September 2019?

Regards,

Dennis Lee | Team Leader

Independent Planning Commission NSW Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street Sydney NSW 2000 e: dennis.lee@ipcn.nsw.gov.au | p: +61 2 9995 6331 | www.ipcn.nsw.gov.au



New South Wales Government

Independent Planning Commission





Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From: Dennis Lee

Sent: Friday, 23 August 2019 3:54 PM

To: Geoff Moore

Subject: RE: Information to the IPCN

Hi Geoff,

Thank you for the update on the air quality addendum and for advising us of the submission to the United Wambo Open Cut Mine Project.

You will remember that the Commission asked at the meeting on 9 July 2019 for information on progressive rehabilitation. You kindly provided some of this information in the response to Commission's queries date 5 August 2019 providing an indication of rehabilitation from 2020 to 2030 in map format for Option 2. The Commission requests more information on this matter. Would Bloomfield please provide for both Option 1 and Option 2:

• Progressive rehabilitation maps (similar to those provided in the additional information) for each of the 21 years of the project. And if the information is readily available, broken down by each stage of rehabilitation (active pit, unshaped, shaped, top soiled, rehabilitated), that would be useful. If this

could also be provided in PowerPoint (.pptx) format, it will enable the ability to flick through the slides and quickly see the year on year differences. A digital model showing the rehabilitation progression with the various stages would also be useful if that's was readily available as an output from your mine planning software.

• Progressive rehabilitation tables on each of the plans that shows the spatial area (ha) of rehabilitation progress for each of the rehabilitation areas (Pit 1, Pit 2, Pit 3 etc.) for each year of the project.

If you have any questions about the request, or would like to discuss it further, please feel free to contact me

Regards,

Dennis Lee | Team Leader

Independent Planning Commission NSW Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street Sydney NSW 2000 e: dee@ipcn.nsw.gov.au | p: +61 2 9995 6331 | www.ipcn.nsw.gov.au



New South Wales Government

Independent Planning Commission





Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From: Geoff Moore

Sent: Friday, 23 August 2019 7:43 AM

To: Dennis Lee < Dennis.Lee@ipcn.nsw.gov.au >

Subject: Information to the IPCN

Hi Dennis

Please be advised that Bloomfield provided a submission on the United Wambo Open Cut Mine Project in response to the statement issued by the IPCN on 2 August 2019. Bloomfield has also provided to the DPIE the addendum report to the Air Quality assessment for SSD 6300 as requested by DPIE.

We seek confirmation that currently there is no outstanding information requested of Bloomfield by the IPCN.

Regards



Please note: If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this transmission together with any attachments as the information contained and any attached files may be confidential and/or subject of legal professional privilege.