

My name is John Allen and I reside with my wife Jenny at 54 Seaspray St, Narrawallee. The Amara Park Estate development is situated directly to the rear of our property.

We are not in favour of the development of a further 6 building blocks on land which was first designated as parkland. The resumption of such parkland would deny the children of the estate a safe and suitable place to play.

We did not receive any documentation relating to the proposed revised changes to the estate. My neighbour did show me a copy of the revised plan which showed the location of the 6 building blocks encompassing what was to be the Southern Parkland. I also noticed that the vegetated area along the high ridge at the southern end of the estate was to be further developed. There were to be a further 12 building blocks at the southern end of this land and a croquet court at the northern end of the land. It is generally understood that the croquet court was to be developed at the request of the Shoalhaven City Council. This being the case it might be expected that Council would take ownership of this land and be responsible for the ongoing maintenance. It has long been rumoured that Council did not want to manage the designated parklands within the estate. It therefore defies logic, why they would support the construction of a Croquet Court and not want to maintain parkland set aside as a children's play area. A minimal requirement being the mowing of any grassed area.

At some stage during the revised plan proceedings it became known that the vegetated areas at the Southern and Northern end of the estate were to remain. I do not know how this decision was derived, as to my knowledge no one in the immediate community received any written notification to this affect. It is questionable why such decision was made independent of the 6 blocks proposed for the Southern Parkland.

It would appear that the Community has not been suitably informed of matters relating to the Revised Plan proceedings. Prior to the Community Forum meeting conducted by the Independent Planning Commission at the Ulladulla Civic Centre on the 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2019, we received a letter drop indicating that 25 objections had been received to the Revised Plan proposal and as such it had been denied. This misinformed letter could have resulted in a number of people not attending the meeting.

I had a number of conversations with the developer over the course of the development. During one conversation he informed that he had set aside a reasonable sum of money for the development of the southern parkland. He in fact informed me that his daughter was to be in charge of the design and landscaping of this park. In the original draft plan this park was to be completed at the end of Stage 3 and before the issue of the completion certificate for this stage. It would appear that the original Draft Plan has not been adhered to. We now have a situation where Stage 5 has been advertised for sale.

I would like the Planning Commission to take into account any correspondence that the Shoalhaven City Council might have had with the State Planning Authority in relation to this matter.

Yours Sincerely,

My name is John Allen and I reside with my wife Jenny at 54 Seabrook St, Narrabeen. The Amara Park Estate development is situated directly to the rear of our property.

We are not in favour of the development of a further 6 building blocks on land which was originally designated as parkland. The resumption of such parkland would deny the children of the estate a safe and suitable place to play.

We did not receive any documentation relating to the proposed revised changes to the estate. My neighbour did show me a copy of the revised plan which showed the location of the 6 building blocks encompassing what was to be the Southern Parkland. I also noticed that the vegetated area along the high ridge at the southern end of the estate was to be further developed. There were to be a further 12 building blocks at the southern end of this land and a croquet court at the northern end of the land. It is generally understood that the croquet court was to be developed at the request of the Shoalhaven City Council. This being the case it might be expected that Council would take ownership of this land and be responsible for the ongoing maintenance. It has long been rumoured that Council did not want to manage the designated parklands within the estate. It therefore follows logic, why they would support the construction of a Croquet Court and not want to maintain parkland set aside as a children's play area. A minimal requirement being the mowing of any grassed area.

At some stage during the revised plan proceedings it became known that the vegetated areas at the Southern and Northern end of the estate were to remain. I do not know how this decision was derived, as to my knowledge no one in the immediate community received any written notification to this affect. It is questionable why such decision was made independent of the 6 blocks proposed for the Southern Parkland.

It would appear that the Community has not been suitably informed of matters relating to the Revised Plan proceedings. Prior to the Community Forum meeting conducted by the Independent Planning Commission at the Ulladulla Civic Centre on the 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2009, we received a letter from indicating that 25 objections had been received to the Revised Plan proposal and as such it had been denied. This misinformed letter could have resulted in a number of people not attending the meeting.

I had a number of conversations with the developer over the course of the development. During one conversation he informed that he had set aside a reasonable sum of money for the development of the southern parkland. He in fact informed me that his daughter was to be in charge of the design and landscaping of this park. In the original draft plan this park was to be completed at the end of Stage 3 and before the issue of the completion certificate for this stage. It would appear that the original Draft Plan has not been adhered to. We now have a situation where Stage 3 has been advertised for sale.

I would like the Planning Commission to take into account any correspondence that the Shoalhaven City Council might have had with the State Planning Authority in relation to this matter.

Yours Sincerely,

[REDACTED]