

## Conditions Of Consent...

I ask for the project to be refused, the draft consent conditions are predicted on the assumption that the mine would deliver according to all the modelling. The conditions of consent are largely generic, there is a need to increase the accountability because, as they stand, there are no consequences for exceedances e.g. noise and dust, only monitoring and some mitigation. This is insufficient.

### Noise...

I believe they should remove the following sections from the Development Consent file.

B2....remove (a) i,ii (b), (e)

Operational hours should be 9am to 5pm.

### Water supply....

B36... proponent must ensure 100% sufficient water for all stages of development.

B38..compensatory water supply must be supplied to all residences, including " minor or negligible" water on an interim basis within 24 hours.

B43.. landowners with minor or negligible assessments are to be included in compensatory measures.

### Water Discharge...

There should be no risk to receiving environment and downstream water users, and all affected landholders should be compensated for any unlicensed or uncontrolled discharge of mine water, and, there should be no potential of acid mine drainage.

B78.. infilling of pit...There should be a strategy for maintenance for the whole site in perpetuity. Ongoing monitoring of TSF, WRE, pit site and ongoing supervision of regeneration.

### Social Impact.

all property owners within a 10km radius should be financially compensated each year. All property owners within a 10km radius be given an option to be acquired by SVL at the request of the property owner.

### Additional Procedures

Part C, section C1...property R37 to be included in the noise mitigation measures.