

Email Submission

Yanco Battery Energy Storage System - SSD-67478479

ACEnergy's [REDACTED] plan "in the heart of the Murrumbidgee Irrigation Area - one of the most diverse agriculture regions in Australia - famous for the production of citrus fruits, rice, cotton and wine" is totally unacceptable & must be rejected.

Stating that "10. Land within the development footprint is mapped Land and Soil Capability (LSC) class 6 (very severe limitations) under the Land and Soil Capability Mapping for NSW (OEH, 2017)" is clearly not factual.

To claim the removal of crops & introduction of the Toxic, FIRE Hazardous YANCO BESS monstrosity & ugly Transmission infrastructure on a 107 ha site of highly productive, irrigated cropping/grazing land is ONLY a MINOR 'contribution to the overall character change' of the area, is absolutely absurd!

In fact an EPBC CONTROLLED ACTION is required for this plan as KOALAS have been recorded in this pretty & productive, biodiversity rich area in the past & essential KOALA TREE foraging, resting habitat - necessary for the survival of the species - is present on site.

Considering the Murrumbidgee Valley National Park is in close proximity, it's not surprising that there is connectivity & 4 records of koalas within 5 km of the site & notably the koala was recently detected within bushland 3 km southeast of the project.

The NATIONAL KOALA RECOVERY PLAN must be applied to this development proposal as it requires Federal Environmental Assessment.

It is extremely sneaky, underhanded & irresponsible that the CUMULATIVE IMPACTS have NOT been accurately documented with up to date mapping for all present & planned industrialised Solar, Wind & BESS infrastructure, as this lack of transparency is a deliberate ploy by the Government to hide the highly alarming, totally unacceptable, impending horror from public knowledge.

This injustice needs immediate rectification with detailed, up to date mapping readily accessible to the public for the SW REZ & all other surrounding plans surrounding it - in the Yanco, Leeton & Griffith districts, etc. included.

The majority of the following Electricity Generating Works + BESS & more are missing & need to be included to independently, scientifically determine the toxic contamination risks to the Murrumbidgee Catchment through to Hay & elsewhere:-

- 'Habitat Environmental' assessed BESS - 649 Ronfeldt Rd, Yanco
- SREA's COMET PARK BESS - 44 River Road, Yanco

- Origin's YANCO SOLAR - 249-385 Toorak Rd, Leeton
- Clean Peak Energy (ex Photon Energy) LEETON SOLAR - 176 Fivebough Rd, Leeton
- Clean Peak Energy's FIVEBOOUGH SOLAR - 176 Fivebough Rd, Leeton
- .Casella Family Brands' SUN CENTRAL SOLAR - Yenda
- Stromlo/Tag Energy's DEVLINS BRIDGE WIND + BESS near Narrandera
- Edify/Octopus' DARLINGTON POINT SOLAR
- Edify's RIVERINA BESS - Darlington Point
- Iberdrola's AVONLIE SOLAR - Sandigo
- Neon's GRIFFITH SOLAR
- EKU Energy's GRIFFITH BESS
- NEOEN's Coleambally Solar
- Risen Energy's COLEAMBALLY BESS
- RES/Aula Energy's ARGOON WIND + BESS
- Origin's Yanco Delta Wind + BESS

**POISONING PRODUCTIVE LAND/WATER, ENERGY POVERTY & NATIONAL SECURITY SABOTAGE
ARE NOT IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST!!**

The Department's claim's that:

"The project would provide flow-on benefits to the local community" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], as it does not factor in any of the devastating, toxic contamination, huge toxic waste burden, energy poverty, economic disaster & national security disastrous consequences that will inevitably result.

The Department fails independent expert engineering analysis & scientifically determined research advice when it "considers the project would not result in significant impacts on the local community or the environment, and any residual impacts can be managed through conditions.

Overall, the Department's assessment concludes that the project would result in benefits to the State of NSW and considers the project is in the public interest."

Whilst THE FIRST JOB OF EVERY GOVERNMENT IS TO PROTECT ITS CITIZENS, the NSW Government's totally fudged - RenewaBULL Electricity Infrastructure Roadmap & Federal 'Future Made in Australia' agenda are the antithesis of this as it is NOT based on:

Electrical Engineering Facts

Scientific Rigour

Integrity

Ethics.

Whilst the IPCN states:

“The proposed battery located one kilometre south-west of Yanco in the Leeton Shire LGA

would store excess renewable energy and provide ‘firming capacity’ by contributing to dispatchable energy availability during peak demand or when renewable energy production is low.

The BESS is intended to increase grid stability, energy security and reliability.”

This ‘Renewable Energy storing’ YANCO BESS WILL NEVER GENERATE ANY POWER & WILL NEVER PROVIDE:

GRID STABILITY - ENERGY SECURITY - RELIABILITY as [REDACTED] ENERGY DEPENDS ON THE WEATHER, IS NEVER AVAILABLE ON DEMAND, DOESN’T WORK MOST OF THE TIME & DOES NOT PROVIDE VITAL SYNCHRONOUS INERTIA - SO ESSENTIAL TO STABILISE THE GRID (Synchronised Inertia - Paul Miskelly Reference.)

Neither will it provide:

Food Security

Economic Prosperity

Productivity

Genuine Protection of Biodiversity or National Security

INSECURE, UNRELIABLE, UNSUSTAINABLE, BANKRUPTINGLY COSTLY, MARKET MANIPULATING BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEMS including YANCO BESS DO NOT COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE CLAIMS MADE in 2.2.3 NSW Electricity Strategy (2019) OF:

*reliable,

*affordable,

*sustainable electricity,

*improve the efficiency,

*drive prices down and help protect the environment,

*cheapest form,

*limit costs,

- *consistent with the nature of the National Electricity System,
- *more cost effective,
- *enhance the reliability and sustainability of the electricity supply,
- *contribute to overall goals of affordability and efficiency:->

2.2.3 NSW Electricity Strategy (2019)

[“The NSW Electricity Strategy is the NSW Government’s plan for a reliable, affordable, and sustainable electricity future that supports a growing economy. The purpose of the NSW Electricity Strategy is to ‘improve the efficiency and competitiveness of the NSW electricity market and encourage investment in new price-reducing generation and energy-saving technology’ (NSW Government, 2019, p.11).

The broader strategy is underpinned by four important principles:

New market-driven electricity generation should drive down prices and help protect the environment. This is because firmed renewables are the cheapest form of new reliable generation and cheaper than the current wholesale price

As electricity is an essential service, state and Commonwealth governments are ultimately responsible for reliable electricity

Government action should limit costs to households, businesses and taxpayers

Government action should be consistent with the nature of the national electricity system and NSW policy objectives.

The strategy emphasises that firmed renewables, including batteries, are the cheapest form of new, reliable energy generation and are more cost-effective than the current wholesale electricity price.

The Project is directly aligned with the NSW Electricity Strategy by incorporating large-scale BESS, which would enhance the reliability and sustainability of the electricity supply, support the integration of renewable energy, and contribute to the overall goals of affordability and efficiency in the NSW electricity market.”]

Nor do ‘renewables’ like YANCO BESS:

- *optimise consumer benefits,
- *ensure secure, reliable and affordable energy delivery,
- *be the most cost-effective method for supplying electricity throughout Australia's net-zero transition,

*deliver significant net market benefits for consumers and economic opportunities in Australia's regions,

*contribute to delivering a reliable and secure energy network as forecasted.

2.2.4 Draft Integrated Systems Plan (2024)

[“The 2024 Integrated System Plan (ISP) (Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO), 2024) provides a comprehensive roadmap for the National Electricity Market (NEM), based on extensive stakeholder engagement, methodology, inputs, assumptions, and power system expertise. Its aim is to optimise consumer benefits amidst complexity and uncertainty during the transition to net zero emissions.

The Draft 2024 ISP highlights the urgent need for investment in new renewable energy generation, transmission, storage, and flexible gas generation to ensure secure, reliable, and affordable energy delivery. The ISP 2024's goal is to achieve renewable electricity generation targets across NEM jurisdictions. The ISP places key emphasis on renewable energy connected by transmission, supported by storage, and supplemented by gas, considered the most cost-effective method for supplying electricity throughout Australia's net-zero transition. Firming technology such as pumped hydro, batteries, and gas-powered generation will smooth out the peaks and fill in the gaps from variable renewable energy, delivering significant net market benefits for consumers and economic opportunities in Australia's regions. It forecasts that Australia will need to significantly increase its storage capacity from 3Gigawatt (GW) in 2024 to 33 GW / 514 GWh in 2034-35, increasing to 57 GW / 642 GWh by 2050 to support the network grid.

The Project aligns with the ISP by offering large-scale storage options to contribute to delivering a reliable and secure energy network as forecasted.”]

👉 NOTHING COULD BE FURTHER FROM THE TRUTH!

Where is the Reputable, Independent, Expert Energy Analysis & Electrical Engineering Advice to prove the claims of this plan as the [REDACTED]

A series of six horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the frame.

For more information, contact the Office of the Vice President for Research and the Office of the Vice President for Student Affairs.

"The idea that adding more & more renewable energy to the grid pushes power prices down has been comprehensively dismissed"

IT'S ALL PAIN & NO GAIN FOR AUSTRALIA AS ENERGY POVERTY [REDACTED] ARE THE ASBESTOS OF THE FUTURE!

WHERE IS THE SCIENTIFIC DETERMINATION of the irreversible TOXIC Contamination - the Public Health & Safety Risks of poisoning our land & Water forever - including Hazardous BESS Chemicals, Bis-FASI PFAS, industrialised Solar's Heavy Metals, & PFOS, Toxic Bisphenol A from Wind [REDACTED] FACTORIES?

Lithium-ion BESS systems are documented to release PFAS (e.g., Bis-FASI) and other "forever chemicals" during thermal runaway events or structural failure.

Therefore, it is clear that the PREMISE

[PAGE 86 - 88] Yanco Battery Energy Storage System

ACENERGY PTY LTD YANCO BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT includes a plethora of totally false & unproven claims about there being minimal, low likelihood of contamination from YANCO BESS.

There is a complete failure to identify the life-threatening, hazardous chemicals released during BESS FIRES & thermal runaway, the Bis-FASI PFAS, FIRE retardants used & no mention of the toxic smoke that would billow over the landscape for days from a likely YANCO BESS FIRE.

ACEnergy need to provide a permanently manned, onsite FIRE fighting force, with all the Hazmat equipment, as there is nothing suitable available in the immediate area.

A 10,000 litre Water Tank is totally inadequate as BESS FIRES can burn for days & substantial amounts of water would be required for cooling the surroundings of the FIRE to prevent further spread.

By their own admission, NSW FIRE & RESCUE state:

"There is a general lack of guidance and provisions in building codes, standards, and legislation in relation to safety to address the potential risks from these emerging technologies. Part of the problem is that we do not yet know enough about their probability of failure, their mechanisms of failure and potential consequences of failure."

<https://www.fire.nsw.gov.au/page.php?id=9402>

Notably, LITHIUM-ION BATTERY FIRE RISKS & EXTINGUISHER LIMITATIONS

1.CSIRO ActivFire® Advisory Note AN-004

CSIRO explicitly states it "has not and will not certify ... that any fire extinguisher can effectively extinguish a Li-Ion battery fire."

Verification Services



Such toxic contamination is especially egregious in this highly productive food bowl with surface & groundwater at huge risk as the site is located only a few kilometres north of the Murrumbidgee River. Guises Creek is nearby & Gogeldrie Branch Canal is across the road.

There are also several hydraulic structures within close proximity to the site, including channels and drains.

IDENTIFIED WITHIN THE GROUNDWATER VULNERABILITY MAPPING UNDER THE LLEP MUST PROHIBIT TOXIC [REDACTED] - THE ASBESTOS OF THE FUTURE!

Located in the Lower Murrumbidgee Alluvium - a highly productive alluvial aquifer - the development site is "IDENTIFIED WITHIN THE GROUNDWATER VULNERABILITY MAPPING UNDER THE LLEP, WHICH AIMS TO ENSURE KEY GROUNDWATER SYSTEMS ARE MAINTAINED AND PROTECTED FROM DEPLETION OR CONTAMINATION."

6.8.3.2 Groundwater

"No groundwater dewatering is expected to be required during the construction period. Due to this it is considered unlikely that GDEs within a 5km buffer would be impacted by the construction of the Yanco BESS."

"Regarding the likelihood of contamination, no significant volumes of chemicals or fuels are expected to be stored on site. As such, the potential for groundwater contamination is considered to be minimal. Potential contamination incidents would be limited to a minor fuel leak or hydraulic hose leak or similar, all of which could likely be managed via spill kits and soil removal. Therefore, there is considered to be a low likelihood of contamination during construction.

During operation, likelihood of contamination is also considered to be low due to the design and placement of the battery units and the management of chemicals on site. The selected batteries are considered the safest type in the industry and do not contain heavy metals. In the unlikely event of battery failure, the battery units are self-contained, with anti-leak connections, therefore limiting any potential for contamination release. Through mitigation measures

recommended in the PHA in Appendix O , it is anticipated that any potential battery fires would be contained and reduce the risk of a larger fire breaking out on the site.

While another potential source of contamination is the storage of up to 100 L of fuel stored on site, this would be stored in a bunded enclosure and would be managed through safe management practices. It is therefore considered that likelihood of contamination during operation is also low.

The FRGA also noted that as there was low to negligible risk to the groundwater, no cumulative impacts are expected.”

There are NO CREDIBLE, INDEPENDENT TOXICOLOGY OR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH STUDIES ON FIRE RISK, CHEMICAL CONTAMINATION, OR SOIL DEGRADATION used by the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] or the Approving DPHI to prove their claims of “low to negligible risk to the groundwater, no cumulative impacts are expected” thus VIOLATING THE NSW ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING & ASSESSMENT ACT (EP&A) OBLIGATIONS with ALL PRINCIPLES OF ECOLOGICALLY SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT DEFIED BY ACEnergy’s YANCO BESS PLAN.

The Four Principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) are:

- The Precautionary Principle: When there is a threat of serious environmental damage, action should be taken to prevent it, even if there is not full scientific certainty.
- Intergenerational Equity: The current generation should take care of the environment so that future generations can benefit from it.
- Conservation of Biological Diversity: The diversity of plants and animals should be preserved.
- Improved Valuation, Pricing, and Incentive Mechanisms: The costs of pollution and waste should be paid by those who cause them.

The YANCO BESS is reckless endangerment of soil, water and public health as no long-term containment plan has been proposed for ACEnergy’s unacceptably hazardous, highly toxic & life-threatening plan.

REPUTABLE, INDEPENDENT DETERMINATION OF ENERGY POVERTY RENEWABULLS - THE ASBESTOS OF THE FUTURE REQUIRES AN IMMEDIATE MORATORIUM, REPUTABLE, INDEPENDENT, PEER REVIEWED SCIENTIFIC DETERMINATION & A ROYAL COMMISSION.

Three things are clear about the [REDACTED] NSW Electricity ('Renewable') Infrastructure Roadmap :



Many landscapes will never be the same;



Our life-sustaining soil & water will be contaminated;



Electricity will become far more expensive and much less reliable;



None of this will alter the climate and



Australia's Electricity Grid will be under the Control of [REDACTED] - the Chinese Communist Party.

As Patricia Adams so aptly states in China's Energy Dream -

"Carbon dioxide reduction only makes sense for those China wishes to harm & supplant."

<https://www.thegwpf.org/content/uploads/2021/12/Adams-Chinas-Energy-Dream.pdf>

With 46.56% owned controlled ElectraNet/TransGrid's sabotaging Project Energy Connect & Solar/Wind & Battery components predominantly reliant on unethical Slave Labour supply chain, PFAS Contaminating components from China - that can be remotely disabled & made to overheat & catch Fire 🔥 - there are NO BENEFITS WHATSOEVER from ACEnergy's [REDACTED] [REDACTED] that will be sourced from [REDACTED] - THE CHINESE COMMUNIST PARTY.

Aussie expert's chilling Chinese EV warning

<https://www.news.com.au/technology/motoring/on-the-road/china-could-make-evs-explode-cyber-expert-warns/news-story/2d29b6e3474d85dc64e8bdcb0995fbec>

<https://www.3aw.com.au/cyber-security-expert-warns-australians-about-high-risk-chinese-made-evs/>

It's glaringly obvious that:

'RENEWABLES ARE A TROJAN HORSE FOR SOCIALISM MASQUERADING AS ENVIRONMENTALISM'

‘Weather dependent stuff – CANNOT deliver power on demand – but guarantees BLACKOUTS – Economic Misery, Environmental Destruction and Community Disruption.’

According to the most credible Electrical Engineering Experts this BESS plan & the fudged NSW 'Renewable' Electricity Infrastructure Roadmap - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] - who was previously the Coalition's NSW Energy Minister & Treasurer - [REDACTED] - can only be described as SHAMBOLIC POLICY MAKING, DANGEROUS TO GRID OPERATION & TOTALLY MAD!

🤔 WHERE IS THE NSW GOVERNMENT'S LEGISLATED POLICY OF ENERGY POVERTY - DEPRIVING THE PEOPLE OF A RELIABLE, AFFORDABLE ELECTRICITY SERVICE - because that's exactly what they are doing & they know it! !

🤔 WHERE IS THE NSW GOVERNMENT'S LEGISLATED POLICY TO POISON THE PEOPLE - by
POISONING OUR LIFE SUSTAINING SOIL & WATER WITH TOXIC ██████████ - THE ASBESTOS
OF THE FUTURE - because that's exactly what they are doing & they know it!

WHERE IS THE NSW GOVERNMENT'S LEGISLATED TARGET to DELIBERATELY TURN OUR LIMITED, IRREPLACEABLE AGRICULTURAL LAND INTO TOXIC CONTAMINATED WASTELAND FOREVER FROM EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS, TOXIC CONTAMINATING INDUSTRIALISED SOLAR & WIND [REDACTED] FACTORIES + LITHIUM-ION BESS PURVEYORS OF TOXIC POISON - INCLUDING YANCO BATTERY WITH PFAS FOREVER CHEMICALS - because that's exactly what they're doing & they know it!

The Gov & IPCN know full well that this is the inevitable, irreversible outcome, yet all we see is the [REDACTED] with the Government planners to now add “OR ANOTHER LAND-USE AS AGREED BETWEEN THE LANDOWNER & APPLICANT OR REGULATOR” & OBJECTING COUNCILS cursed with the BURDEN of these RISKY MORAL HAZARD EXPERIMENTS - where FAILURE IS A DESIGN FEATURE.

This is NOT A PRACTISE the so called INDEPENDENT- Planning Commission can continue to condone with their stitched-up Approvals!

DECOMMISSIONING & REMEDIATION

There is NO guarantee at all of ACEnergy or the [REDACTED] Landowner Host ever decommissioning & remediating the site to its original, uncontaminated, Agriculturally productive state.

The following non-committal ramble doesn't guarantee anything to ever return the site to its present productive state.

Incapable, short term BESS only lasts about 10 years - if they don't burst into flames sooner - not an exaggerated 23 years & a pitiful 500mm depth for removal of 'foreign' infrastructure is totally inadequate.

The total underground infrastructure must be removed to enable varied farming practises to continue & to prevent ongoing contamination.

An upfront financial surety paid prior to construction by the polluter - ACEnergy or by the [REDACTED] Host - must be safely held - in order to completely Clean-up this toxic JUNK from any unforeseen storm/fire events & to Decommission & fully Remediate the site.

[The project also allows for the upgrading and decommissioning of equipment over time.

The expected operational life of the project is approximately 23 years with the possibility to extend.'

'Decommissioning and rehabilitation

Following decommissioning, above ground and below ground (where buried to depths of less than 500 mm) infrastructure would be removed, excluding those within the Yanco substation.]

As a CONDITION OF APPROVAL YANCO BESS REQUIRES A DECOMMISSIONING SECURITY REPORT to be implemented to underpin end of construction and end of operations decommissioning.

This report needs to provide evidence of the proposed financial security (bonds, financial guarantees or similar) that will ensure timely compliance of decommissioning at end of construction and at end of operations as well as full remediation - at no cost to landowners or the government.

❗ Is the Leeton Council even aware of the RISKY MORAL HAZARD EXPERIMENTS the irresponsible NSW Government & the IPCN have been unjustly burdening Local Regulatory Authorities with - when the DPHI & IPCN know full well that these FLAWED, RISKY ELECTRIFICATION EXPERIMENTS ARE TOXIC HAZARDS that the Local Councils will ultimately bear responsibility/liability for under the POEO Act - regarding any Toxic Contamination/Pollution caused by Industrialised Solar/Wind Electricity Generating Works & BESS.

Even when other less naive Councils - like Mid-Western - have officially objected, have requested “significant consideration of CUMULATIVE IMPACTS,” legitimately raised the obvious, seriously detrimental consequences for their community & demanded WASTE MANAGEMENT PLANS, DECOMMISSIONING/REMEDIATION BONDS as well as proof of the land being returned to its productive land-use/class prior to the planned electricity infrastructure - they’ve been inveigled into silence & shockingly, none of the toxic contaminating, public health & safety risks have been addressed at all->

Traffic, Transport and Accessibility

The Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment notes (4.10.2) the detailed route on pages 37 and 38 are at large variance to route descriptions in the document and the Figure 4.8.

Council requests the written description match the map in Figure 4.8 and do not support the written route passing through Gulgong via Mayne St and using Wollar Road and Ulan Road.

Park and Ride

It must be noted that Council does not support "park and ride" type purposes on public land due to insufficient car parking available in the region, and no public car parks or public road infrastructure are to be used for park and ride.

Decommissioning

The EIS notes (3.7) A Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan will be prepared in consultation with the Associated Landowner prior to the cessation of operations.

Council requests the decommissioning and rehabilitation plan also be prepared in consultation with Council prior to consent.

Council also requests that such a plan includes the following, at a minimum:

- The anticipated present value cost of decommissioning works, along with an explanation of the calculation of that cost (including a buffer for changes in market values/ inflation).
- The physical plan for decommissioning, prepared or certified by an engineer, confirming that full remediation/ restoration of the site to its former primary production use/ class land capability is possible.
- Commitment to a financial security to cover the cost of decommissioning.
- Management and waste reduction initiatives as to where generated waste will be disposed and/or recycled, without impacting on local waste facilities and in accordance with:
 - Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997
 - Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2014
 - Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Act 2001
 - NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA) Waste Classification Guidelines

Ideally, the above information should be updated every 5 - 7 years to keep up with changes.

Cumulative Impacts

In addition to the above, Council wishes to ensure significant consideration of the cumulative impacts of this proposal in conjunction with other approved and upcoming major projects in the region. The assessment should include, but not be limited to, the following cumulative impacts:

- Environmental impacts of the project, including but not limited to cumulative flora, fauna, and habitat loss, particularly impacts on threatened species, increased risk of grass fire escape and spread with loss of woodland communities, greater potential for bio-security impacts such as weed dispersal and new incursions on the site and surrounding agricultural areas, increased risk of feral animal incursion and impacts to stock, wildlife and human safety, groundwater impacts, contamination effects, waste disposal impacts, and cultural heritage impacts including Aboriginal and European heritage.



Solar and storage project proffers \$15 million for local council – pv magazine Australia
<https://www.pv-magazine-australia.com/2025/07/22/solar-and-storage-project-proffers-15-million-for-local-council/>



Leeton Shire Ratepayers & Residents Association - \$3 Million VPA

https://m.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=1266394928616579&id=100057382514131



Elgin Energy are now adding

"or another land use as agreed between the landholder and the project owner."

[Additionally, the project is expected to have minimal impacts on long term agricultural productivity of the site. Once the project is decommissioned, land on the study area will be rehabilitated and returned to its pre-existing land use OR ANOTHER LAND USE AS AGREED BETWEEN THE LANDHOLDER AND THE PROJECT OWNER.]

<https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/major-projects/projects/mayfair-solar-farm>

Similarly Edify Energy state

"or unless otherwise agreed with the land owner and/or regulatory authorities.".

No watercourses are mapped as occurring within New Grenfell Rd and Lachlan Valley Way intersection site. However, two natural watercourses occur within the intersection study area, comprising one Strahler 1st order minor, non-perennial watercourses, and one Strahler 1st order major, non-perennial watercourse (Bundaburrah Creek). Of these, Bundaburrah Creek (980 m east of the site) contains both KFH and PRL. No impacts to these waterways as a result of this proposal are anticipated. Refer **Att 6. Edify Peninsula Modification Report Feb 2025 p 130 Appendix E**

3.1.2 Describe any existing or proposed uses for the project area.

The project site is highly modified due to its history of agriculture and grazing and is currently comprised of both crops and grazing land. The site is generally flat, with undulating rises towards the southern section of the site.

The project will alter the current land use from agriculture to energy generation and storage, thereby reducing the availability of land for agriculture during project operation. During the construction period, agricultural land use on the project site (i.e. the secured land) will be interrupted. Following construction and a resting period of approximately one year, Edify anticipates that approximately 1,000 merino sheep will be introduced to graze within the project boundary.

Once the project has been decommissioned at the end of its operational life, all above-ground infrastructure will be removed, underground infrastructure (e.g. electrical cabling) will be removed to a depth of 1000 mm, and the land rehabilitated to a safe, stable and non-polluting state. It is anticipated that the pre-existing land use will be re-established following rehabilitation, unless otherwise agreed with the landowner and/or regulatory authorities.

See link #1 section 6.5.4 p 155

3.1.3 Describe any outstanding natural features and/or any other important or unique values that applies to the project area.

The site does not contain any currently listed Areas of Outstanding Biodiversity Value or outstanding natural features

The project site has been subjected to extensive historical clearing, and non-development zones have been designated by Edify within the project site to exclude most of the remaining native vegetation from project-related disturbance. Consequently, biodiversity impacts have been substantially reduced due to site selection and design. Vegetation to be disturbed consists primarily of isolated paddock trees, derived grassland and non-native vegetation

Att 2 Peninsula SF Amendment Report 2024 Section 3.6 and 3.7 pdf p. 383

3.1.4 Describe the gradient (or depth range if action is to be taken in a marine area) relevant to the project area.

These new inclusions are an obvious Admission that these Applicants, Landowners & the Approving Authorities are now aware that this land will be contaminated forever!



YANCO BESS & ALL INDUSTRIALISED WIND/SOLAR ELECTRICITY GENERATING WORKS & BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEMS DEFY:

- Every Aspect of the National Electricity Law Objective
- All Principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development
- National Koala Recovery Plan
- Genuine Protection of Ecology & Biodiversity - Farcical Biodiversity Offsets
- Australian Drinking Water  Guidelines
- Stockholm Convention
- The WHO
- Commonwealth PFAS Ban
- Modern Slavery Act
- Social Licence
- LPA Accreditation
- Paris Agreement

**NATIONAL ELECTRICITY LAW OBJECTIVE

‘The objective of the National Electricity Law is to promote efficient investment in, & efficient operation and use of, electricity services for the long term interests of consumers with respect to:-

- (a) price, quality, safety, reliability and security of supply of electricity;
and
- (b) the reliability, safety and security of the national electricity system;
and
- (c) the achievement of targets set by a participating jurisdiction

- (i) for reducing Australia's greenhouse gas emissions; or
- (ii) that are likely to contribute to reducing Australia's greenhouse gas emissions.'

AEMO, AER, AEMC, Energy Ministers, Networks, Generators & everyone in 'authority', place primacy on emissions target rather than the other requirements of the NEL.

The emissions requirement was only added to the NEL in Sep 2023, and the NSW Emissions Reduction Act 2023 was only passed in Nov 23 (other states were similarly tardy to legislate any targets), - so on what basis did any Gov act prior to that to impose all this on us? Yet they all did, and still emphasise emissions over other objectives.

NEL is made in the SA Parliament, and in the 2nd reading, the Minister there also reiterated that ALL OBJECTIVES ARE EQUAL.

The Four Principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) are:

- The Precautionary Principle: When there is a threat of serious environmental damage, action should be taken to prevent it, even if there is not full scientific certainty.
- Intergenerational Equity: The current generation should take care of the environment so that future generations can benefit from it.
- Conservation of Biological Diversity: The diversity of plants and animals should be preserved.
- Improved Valuation, Pricing, and Incentive Mechanisms: The costs of pollution and waste should be paid by those who cause them.

**National Koala Recovery Plan is clear, unequivocal and must be applied to development proposals undergoing Federal Environmental Assessment.

Page 80 of the National Koala Recovery Plan states that the following activities should be avoided:

- clearing of habitat used by Koalas for feeding and resting
- reducing connectivity between patches of habitat used by Koalas for feeding, resting, commuting and dispersing (either by clearing of vegetation or by the erection of barriers to passage)
- clearing of habitat used by Koalas during extreme events (heat waves, drought/fire refuge)
- activities that will expose Koalas to additional threats (e.g. dogs, cars) in places where Koalas must use the ground to move between resting and feeding trees.

The Federal Minister for Environment must STOP  approving 'renewable' projects that are inconsistent with the National Koala Recovery Plan.

👉 Halt further destruction of endangered koala habitat. You can download a copy of the Guide here. <https://tinyurl.com/5y9ddm24>

👉 To read the National Koala Recovery Plan, see [National Recovery Plan for the Koala *Phascolarctos cinereus* \(combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory\) \(dccew.gov.au\)](https://www.dccew.gov.au/national-recovery-plan-for-the-koala-phascolarctos-cinereus-combined-populations-of-queensland-new-south-wales-and-the-australian-capital-territory)

**Biodiversity Offset Crisis

<https://www.crikey.com.au/2023/05/18/biodiversity-offsets-scheme-crisis/>

Biodiversity offsets are arguably one of the most damaging environmental policies in a smorgasbord of bad policies, according to the environmental community.....

Offsetting has become a trading market with no real transparency or demonstrative environmental benefits. Proponents self-refer projects, both at the NSW government and federal levels.'

**NHMRC Updated Australian Drinking Water Guidelines - 25 June 2025, including:

- revised chemical fact sheets on per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), selenium, lead and manganese
- an information sheet on metals and metalloids leaching from plumbing products
- a new chemical fact sheet on bismuth
- a new combined chemical fact sheet on silicon and silica
- consequential edits to the Guidelines to align advice and ensure consistency.

The Australian Drinking Water Guidelines webpage

New and revised health-based guideline values and advice has been developed for the following PFAS to reduce health risks from exposure to these chemicals in Australian drinking water:

- * PFOA (perfluorooctanoic acid): 200 ng/L
- * PFOS (perfluorooctane sulfonic acid): 8 ng/L
- * PFHxS (perfluorohexane sulfonic acid): 30 ng/L
- * PFBS (perfluorobutane sulfonic acid): 1000 ng/L
- * GenX chemicals (hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid and its ammonium salt): no health-based guideline value can be derived at this time

PFAS Project webpage has been updated to include an overview of the PFAS review, highlighting the guideline development process, associated health risks, and other key related information.

Contact water@nhmrc.gov.au

Alan Singh Executive Director, Research Quality and Advice National Health and Medical Research Council

**A range of PFAS is also subject to the Stockholm Convention for the protection of human health and the environment from persistent organic pollutants (POPs) (ie, PFOS, PFHxS, PFOA and potentially all long chain perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acids).

**World Health Organization now lists two PFAS as carcinogens or possible carcinogens: perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) as a Group 1 carcinogen and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) as a Group 2B carcinogen.

****COMMONWEALTH PFAS BAN**

Some types have been found to be toxic to human health and the environment. In its most definitive regulatory action taken to date, the Commonwealth has effectively banned the import, use and manufacture of some of the more prominent types of PFAS (PFOS, PFOA and PFHxS)

from 1 July 2025.

(21 Feb 2024)

The Developer ACEnergy & the Department have [REDACTED] ignored these facts & the cumulative, RenewaBULL contamination impacts will infiltrate the water table and local water systems - including the plethora of irrigation channels, Gogilderie Branch Canal in close proximity as well as the nearby Guises Creek & Murrumbidgee River only a few km away - jeopardising both our quality of life and broader water infrastructure.

A CONDITION IS REQUIRED for REPUTABLE, INDEPENDENT EXPERT BASELINE TESTING OF THE SITE & WATER SOURCES as well as essential, frequent, ongoing WATER TESTING, MONITORING & REPORTING regarding the SOIL & WATER by the same - on the YANCO BESS site & the surrounding land & water.

[REDACTED]

A PLETHORA OF FALSE INFORMATION IS INCLUDED IN THE NSW LARGE-SCALE SOLAR ENERGY GUIDELINE & RENEWABLE ENERGY PLANNING FRAMEWORK

<https://www.planning.nsw.gov.au/sites/default/files/2024-11/renewable-energy-planning-framework-faq.pdf>

<https://www.planning.nsw.gov.au/sites/default/files/2023-03/large-scale-solar-energy-guideline-faq.pdf>

🤔 Do solar panels contaminate soil?

“The metals in solar panels (including lead, cadmium, copper, indium, gallium and nickel) cannot be easily released into the environment. This is because metals such as cadmium telluride (CdTe) or cadmium sulfide (CdS) are enclosed in thin layers between sheets of glass or plastic within the solar panel. Because of this, the use of metals in solar panels has not been found to pose a risk to the environment.

To readily release contaminants into the environment, solar panels would need to be ground to a fine dust.”

This [REDACTED] inclusion can only be described as “confection made up evidence - so lacking in integrity that no weight can be placed on it!”

(Federal Court Judge Justice Natalie Charlesworth - NT Santos Barossa Gas deal - dismissed EDO Appeal - 15/1/24)

THERE IS NO WAY THAT WE WILL BE PROTECTED FROM THESE TOXIC CONTAMINATING
[REDACTED] INEVITABLY
DEGRADES, GETS BROKEN, FRACTURED BY HAIL OR BURNT.

[REDACTED]

Once again, the NSW Solar Energy Guideline IS LYING when it says:

🤔 ”Do solar panels or wind turbines pose a threat to livestock?

There is limited evidence to suggest that solar panels or wind turbines pose a threat to livestock.

Grazing and cropping can continue right up to the base of a wind turbine, and to the edge of hardstands and access tracks.

There are also many examples where large-scale solar developments have successfully co-located with existing agricultural practices, including sheep grazing, both in Australia and internationally. Solar panels can offer shade for sheep, protection from the elements and green pasture during droughts."

The LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION ASSURANCE PROGRAM now highlights the obvious:

The particular question is 2.8 of the "Food Safety on Your Property" section.

**"Do livestock have access to leaking electrical transformers, capacitors, hydraulic equipment, solar panels, wind turbines, coal seam gas structures or coal mine wastes?"*

- Yes
- No
- I am now aware and making plans to restrict access

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/2147446775628698/posts/2222816628091712/>
https://m.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=2222816628091712&id=2147446775628698

The NSW Solar Energy Guideline IS LYING AGAIN when it says:-

 "What happens if a company goes bankrupt and cannot afford to remove the infrastructure?"

In this situation, the obligations may fall to the landholder. This is because the requirement to decommission and rehabilitate a project applies to the land rather than any company.

Notwithstanding, landholders may choose to request a financial assurance (bond) from the project developer to ensure the project can be decommissioned.

This is a commercial matter to be considered when entering into a private agreement to host the project infrastructure.

Unlike mining projects, almost all investment in renewable energy happens upfront. Coupled with relatively small decommissioning costs, this means the ongoing risks are extremely low and the cost of decommissioning can be recuperated in as little as 2 years of operation.'

This is ABSOLUTELY FALSE as clearly indicated in the Department's own Decommissioning Calculators & other reputable information provided.

The NSW Solar Energy Guideline IS LYING AGAIN when it says:-

🤔 "Will having a renewable energy development next door to my farm increase the premiums on my public liability insurance?

At this time, the Insurance Council of Australia has found no evidence that premiums for public liability insurance will increase if a renewable energy development is located next door to a farm. Further, there is no evidence that owners of neighbouring farms will be prevented from obtaining an appropriate level of cover.

For more information about insurance related matters, please see the Insurance Council of Australia's statement regarding farm insurance and energy infrastructure."

For neighbours, in the case of an 'at fault' event, we are now unable to get adequate public liability insurance to cover ourselves against the obnoxious, extremely costly, HAZARDOUS INDUSTRIALISED SOLAR ELECTRICITY GENERATING WORKS UNJUSTLY FORCED UPON US AGAINST OUR WILL - WITHOUT OUR CONSENT - WITH NO SOCIAL LICENCE.

The Maximum being \$50 MILLION (see ARMA Broker email.)

Additionally, we have recently been notified by our current insurer that there is now a SILICA DUST EXCLUSION CLAUSE attached to our Public Liability Farm Insurance.

🤔 Are the rolling cascade of Solar/Wind/BESS owners contactable and do they even have Insurance for filthy, FIRE Hazardous Toxic BESS with thermal runaway ensuring deadly, life-threatening smoke billowing for days, for the toxic PFAS, Heavy Metals, Silica Dust & Poisonous Chemical Contamination to cover the detrimentally impacted neighbours, their stock, the crops, soil, water and wildlife who are all seriously harmed by such toxic contaminants - including forever chemicals - released in burning Lithium-ion BESS/Solar panel or Wind Turbine Fires with toxic smoke/dust blowing from burnt, damaged, broken & degraded [REDACTED] infrastructure?

🤔 Where is the Department's CONDITION regarding the COMMONWEALTH PFAS BAN now in place?

It does not matter how many Forever Chemicals - including PFAS/PFOS - we take out of the environment if we keep on pumping them in.

'Relying on clean-ups is like bailing out a sinking boat with a teacup while the crew is busy drilling new holes in the hull!'

COMMONWEALTH PFAS BAN

Some types have been found to be toxic to human health and the environment.

In its most definitive regulatory action taken to date, the Commonwealth has effectively banned the import, use and manufacture of some of the more prominent types of PFAS (PFOS, PFOA and PFHxS) from 1 July 2025.

These are alarming burdens for neighbour victims of these Toxic Contaminating [REDACTED] Factories - made even worse by the fact that ownership frequently changes hands - with the majority having multiple owners within a matter of a few years eg. Bomen Solar is already on its FOURTH OWNER SINCE 2019!

SLAVE LABOUR SUPPLY CHAINS

Why is the NSW Anti-Slavery Commissioner ignoring the shamefully UNETHICAL - SLAVE LABOUR SUPPLY CHAIN RELIANT [REDACTED] SWINDLE?

Whilst Developers & the NSW Government claim they have systems to identify and mitigate Modern Slavery risks and are 'committed to respecting human rights,' none of them do so in practise.

Where is ACEnergy & the Department's proof that they will NOT typically & [REDACTED] Child Slave Labour Supply Chain Linked COBALT etc. for YANCO BESS?

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

FAILURE IS A DESIGN FEATURE with the CCP Prioritised over Australia -

The much proclaimed Future Made in Australia is also deliberately designed to fail thanks to the anti-Australian, pro CCP \$15 billion National Reconstruction Fund (NRF)& CEC.

Despite the Government's huge waste of Taxpayer funded subsidy dollars - Australia's Battery making plans are in tatters ->

'Crying shame': Inside the demise of Australia's only battery maker - 5/9/25

'There's no coherent strategy, critics say, and the bureaucrats writing and administering the policy and programs have no experience in complex manufacturing.'

It's shambolic, plodding and not nimble enough to meet the needs of manufacturers.

There's no big-picture blueprint.

The federal government is spending some \$360 billion on submarines, designed to deter threats from China, and yet it has handed vast sections of our electrical system to Chinese companies, including the ultimate control of the battery management systems.

Former chief of army Lieutenant General (Retd) Peter Leahy tells the Financial Review that the “ability of anyone to interdict our infrastructure, whether it be water or electricity, would be of deep concern to our national security.”

So now we’re shipping our minerals to China for them to stick in their batteries.”

It means that none of the batteries in the government’s mammoth \$2.3 billion home battery scheme will be Australian-made.

Australian taxpayers, he says, are “subsidising Chinese batteries to come to Australia to get a rebate, so Chinese manufacturers can succeed”.

POISONING OUR LIFE SUSTAINING SOIL/WATER, ENERGY POVERTY & THIS CCP SABOTAGE IS NOT IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST!!

AFTER 6 YEARS OF RINGING THE ALARM BELLS ABOUT THIS DELIBERATE ECOCIDAL DESTRUCTION &

ENERGY DEPRIVATION - ALL CEMENTED BY IRRESPONSIBLY COMPLICIT IPCN - IT'S OBVIOUS THAT POISONING OUR LIFE SUSTAINING LAND & WATER IS DELIBERATELY ORCHESTRATED AS WE ARE MADE WEAK - DEPRIVED OF OUR OWN NATURALLY PLENTIFUL, FAR SUPERIOR POWER RESOURCES & CHINA GROWS STRONGER ON OUR DEMISE.

The Government has a FATAL ADDICTION in PRIORITISING UNETHICAL, CCP CONTROLLING ELECTRICAL INFRASTRUCTURE ensuring Australia becomes nothing but a wide-spread Pathetic TOXIC SOLAR//WIND/BATTERY DUMP & Toxic Mine Pit - as a Vassal State for Chinacn

It's about time that the IPCN act independently, rely on Engineering Facts & Scientific Rigour with Integrity & Ethics & stop prioritising the CCP [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] only further exposes the glaring omission of dodgy ACEnergy's failures, the [REDACTED] DPHI & complicit, non-independent, non-transparent IPCN - in totally failing to genuinely consult with the local Yanco & Leeton Community & hold an open, transparent Public Meeting, let alone adequately notify anyone locally that their strangely, sneakily stitched-up IPCN Meeting was even on.

the majority of original Objectors are intimately linked to & reliant on our vital Murrumbidgee Catchment, appreciate the wonderfully superior & healthy Riverina & MIA produce & have family connections who strive to protect our intergenerational heritage of healthy food production & uncontaminated, vital water supplies.

References:

**Forever chemicals' used in Lithium Ion Batteries Threaten Environment, Research Finds | Lithium-ion batteries | The Guardian 14/7/24

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/article/2024/jul/14/forever-chemicals-lithium-ion-batteries-environment>

**Safety of Grid Scale Lithium-ion Battery Energy Storage Systems

“The scale of Li-ion BESS energy storage envisioned at “mega scale” energy farms is unprecedented and requires urgent review. The explosion potential and the lack of engineering standards to prevent thermal runaway may put control of “battery fires” beyond the knowledge, experience and capabilities of local Fire and Rescue Services.

BESS present special hazards to fire-fighters....”

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/352158070_Safety_of_Grid_Scale_Lithium-ion_Battery_Energy_Storage_Systems

**Grid Scale Batteries & Fire Risk

<https://static1.squarespace.com/static/656f411497ae14084ad8d03a/t/66fd2383b56dbc6906390297/1727865736681/Fannon-Batteries.pdf>

**Disaster at Moss Landing: The Risk of Battery Storage - YouTube - 16/1/25

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xuTaZFQA18E>

**<https://wattsupwiththat.com/2025/02/20/massive-green-battery-plant-catches-on-fire-again-weeks-after-major-toxic-blaze/>

**<https://localnewsmatters.org/2025/02/13/environmental-tests-reveal-elevated-levels-of-toxic-metals-since-moss-landing-battery-fire/>

**<https://www.sfgate.com/news/bayarea/article/environmental-tests-reveal-battery-metals-around-20163514.php>

**<https://www.cbsnews.com/sanfrancisco/news/elevated-levels-heavy-metals-elkhorn-slough-lithium-battery-facility-fire/>

**Battery recycling plant explodes - twice. (What it means for you.) | Auto Expert John Cadogan - YouTube

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0-nzOJ01Fkc>

***"PFOS and PFOS-related chemicals are still being produced for regulated uses (primarily in China)"

<https://greensciencepolicy.org/our-work/building-materials/pfas-in-building-materials/>

** "PFOS Chemicals are just as bad for wildlife as they are for humans.

Tests have found that even small levels of contamination can lead to compromised immune systems and brain asymmetry."

<https://www.completehomefiltration.com.au/difference-between-pfas-and-pfos/>

**Livestock Production Assurance Program

The particular question is 2.8 of the "Food Safety on Your Property" section.

"Do livestock have access to leaking electrical transformers, capacitors, hydraulic equipment, solar panels, wind turbines, coal seam gas structures or coal mine wastes?"

- Yes

- No

- I am now aware and making plans to restrict access

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/2147446775628698/posts/2222816628091712/>

https://m.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=2222816628091712&id=2147446775628698

****Paris Agreement aims to strengthen the global response to the threat of climate change, in the context of sustainable development and efforts to eradicate poverty.**

Taking over farmland to build facilities to produce intermittent energy is a violation of Article 2, Section 1(b) of the Paris Agreement (2015).

Article 2 1(b) of the 2015 Paris Agreement states:

“This Agreement... aims to strengthen the global response to the threat of climate change, in the context of sustainable development and efforts to eradicate poverty, including by:

“(b) Increasing the ability to adapt to the adverse impacts of climate change and foster climate resilience and low greenhouse gas emissions development, IN A MANNER THAT DOES NOT THREATEN FOOD PRODUCTION”; See:

https://unfccc.int/files/essential_background/convention/application/pdf/english_paris_agreement.pdf

***The idea that adding more & more renewable energy to the grid pushes power prices down has been comprehensively dismissed.”

10/2/25. 4:48 minutes - Aidan Morrison:

<https://www.skynews.com.au/opinion/peta-credlin/governments-insistence-on-renewables-amid-soaring-power-prices-lashed/video/86bd230860f5514d1e587239c6b913ab>

****Fresh Pressure on Chris Bowen + Matt Kean**  - Ben Fordham Live - Aidan Morrison - 07/02/25

8 minutes

<https://omny.fm/shows/ben-fordham-full-show/saturday-fresh-pressure-on-chris-bowen-matt-kean>

[<https://omny.fm/shows/ben-fordham-full-show/friday-show-7th-february>]

**AEMO was not “trying to find the cheapest replacement for coal” since its Integrated Systems Plan (ISP) must be based on the current government’s policy.

“Matt Kean looks like he’s lying to Parliament in that case, because the statements he’s made... that the market operator’s ISP is trying to find the cheapest replacement for coal; that is absolutely false,” he said.

“What’s become absolutely, unequivocally clear is that the market operators Integrated System Plan is merely an instrument to achieve government policies.

It does not allow government policies to be compared to any other alternative.

So this idea that this baseline is somehow tested and compared to other alternatives - like more coal and gas - is utterly false.”

16/1/25 - 7 minutes - Aidan Morrison:-

<https://www.skynews.com.au/business/energy/utterly-false-energy-expert-unleashes-on-climate-change-authority-head-matt-kean-and-market-regulator-beholden-to-government/news-story/a68147f24f47c99c1a868640f6ab7b64>

**You are being Conned

GenCON Report & equating AEMO & the Government with Animal Farm!

Adi Paterson

<https://youtu.be/J50hWO2DKHc>

**Energy Consumers: Transgrid's \$4.1 Billion Cost Blowout of Project EnergyConnect is a Huge Problem for Australia

<https://www.afr.com/companies/energy/why-transgrid-s-4-1b-energyconnect-is-a-huge-problem-20250205-p5l9r1>

**Gerard Holland CEO Page Research Centre lays out the staggering cost of renewable energy at ARC Australia 14min video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sRhNOv1Uo4M>

**AustralianPolitics on X: "1/2: David Pearl, former Federal Treasury Assistant Secretary lifts the lid on Labor's latest spending PONZI SCHEME. Taxpayers are expected to forego schools, hospitals, roads, public transport etc., to finance untested new technologies selected by unqualified Ministers.

<https://t.co/WpvuBa91iJ> / X

<https://x.com/NoSpinPolitics/status/1788130876083393013>

**Beautifully Hackable - Irina Slav on Energy - 12/8/24

https://irinaslav.substack.com/p/beautifully-hackable?utm_campaign=email-post&utm_source=substack

**Rogue Communication Devices Found in Chinese Inverters

<https://www.reuters.com/sustainability/climate-energy/ghost-machine-rogue-communication-devices-found-chinese-inverters-2025-05-14/>

**Hacked Off: CyberCrims Attack Rooftop Solar To Bring Down Entire Grid

<https://stopthesethings.com/2024/09/07/hacked-off-cybercrims-attack-rooftop-solar-to-bring-down-entire-grid/>

<https://stopthesethings.com/2024/09/07/hacked-off-cybercrims-attack-rooftop-solar-to-bring-down-entire-grid/>

****Crying Shame - Inside the Demise of Australia's Only Battery Maker ****

<https://www.afr.com/companies/energy/crying-shame-inside-the-demise-of-australia-s-only-battery-maker-20250901-p5mrl4>

****U.S Blacklists Chinese Battery Giant**

“These batteries are used in electric vehicles here in Australia,” Mr Danby said.

<https://www.skynews.com.au/opinion/us-military-is-concerned-america-blacklists-chinese-battery-giant-over-military-links/video/765e12fec5e3b837a5e606e40371a7f9>

****Aussie expert's chilling Chinese EV warning - 17/9/2025**

<https://www.news.com.au/technology/motoring/on-the-road/china-could-make-evs-explode-cyber-expert-warns/news-story/2d29b6e3474d85dc64e8bdcb0995fbec>

****Australia's Climate Ambitions have a Modern Slavery Problem - ASPI**

From

Save Our Surroundings Riverina

[REDACTED]