



TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING

RE: YANCO BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEM (SSD-67478479)

APPLICANT MEETING

PANEL: KEN KANOFSKI (CHAIR)
BRONWYN EVANS

OFFICE OF THE IPC: BRAD JAMES
ISAAC CLAYTON

**APPLICANT
REPRESENTATIVES:** DANNY WILKINSON (ACEnergy)
JANE BAI (ACEnergy)
MARLEY BROWN (ACEnergy)

LOCATION: ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE

DATE: 12:00PM – 1:00PM
MONDAY, 15th SEPTEMBER 2025

<THE MEETING COMMENCED

5 **MR KANOFSKI:** Look, so, welcome everyone. And look, before I start, we need to, for formality sake, do an opening statement setting out the basis for this meeting. So, before I begin that though, I'd like to acknowledge the traditional custodians of the various lands on which we're meeting, I'm on Wangal country and pay my respects to Elders past, present and emerging.

10 And welcome to the meeting today to discuss the Yanco Battery Energy Storage System, which is state significant development 67478479, and it's currently before the Commission for determination.

15 ACEnergy proposes to develop the Yanco Battery Energy Storage System, a 250 megawatt, 1,100 megawatt-hour battery and associated grid connection infrastructure. The project would connect to the existing Transgrid 330 kilovolt Yanco substation which is located directly to the east of the site. The project is located approximately 7 kilometres south of Leeton in the Leeton Shire local government area.

20 My name is Ken Kanofski, I'm the Chair of the Commission Panel. I'm joined by my fellow commissioner, Dr Bronwyn Evans.

DR BRONWYN EVANS: Good morning. Afternoon.

25 **MR KANOFSKI:** And we're also joined by Brad James and Isaac Clayton from the Office of the Independent Planning Commission.

30 In the interests of openness and transparency and to ensure the full capture of information, today's meeting is being recorded, and a complete transcript will be produced and made available on the Commission's website.

35 This meeting is one part of the Commission's consideration of this matter and will form one of several sources of information upon which the Commission will base its determination. It's important for the commissioners to ask questions of the attendees and to clarify issues whenever it is considered appropriate. If you're asked a question and not in a position to answer, please feel free to take the question on notice and provide any additional information in writing, which we will then put up on our website.

40 I request that all members here today introduce themselves before speaking for the first time, and for members to ensure they do not speak over the top of each other – really, that's about getting an accurate transcript of the meeting.

45 So, thank you and welcome. And I think we've seen the agenda which has got the kind of key items for consideration listed. If it suits you, if you would like to kind of lead the conversation on those items and then we'll kind of ask questions as we go, if that makes sense. So, if that works, I'm happy to hand over.

MR BROWN: Yes, no problem. Danny, I did just have a small short presentation here just on – sorry, so for a bit of background, so Marley Brown, I’m the Origination Manager at ACEnergy. I’m having some troubles with my internet by the looks of it.

5 **MR WILKINSON:** Marley, we can hear you clearly.

MR KANOFSKI: Yes, you’re fine.

10 **MR WILKINSON:** Jane, do you mind getting us started with the agenda?

MS BAI: Sure. Hi. Marley’s back. Yes, I’ll do my, giving us a quick intro to the project.

15 **MR BROWN:** Thank you, Jane, sorry guys, I’ve just had a bit of troubles with my internet this morning. So, let me just share the screen. Is everyone able to see that shared screen?

MS BAI: Yes, yes, we can.

20 **DR EVANS:** We can, but mostly we can see the inner works of your machine rather than anything particularly useful.

MS BAI: Yes, it’s the Teams screen, not your slides.

25 **MR BROWN:** Okay.

MR WILKINSON: Stop sharing your screen, Jane.

30 **MR BROWN:** I’m a bit new to Zoom.

MR BRAD JAMES: Danny, Marley, Jane, just as a backup, and maybe we’ll do this in parallel, but do you want to send me a copy of your PowerPoint by email?

35 **MR BROWN:** Yes, absolutely.

MR JAMES: Yes, if we can manage to get it up now, it’s fine, but at least I’ll have a backup, and I can screen share.

40 **MR WILKINSON:** Marley, you can just stop sharing your screen for a second because you’ve got all kinds of items being shown here.

MR BROWN: Right-o. Sorry, guys.

45 **MR WILKINSON:** Yes. Maybe you can just give us a verbal introduction to the project.

MR BROWN: No problem, okay. So, Yanco BESS, so it’s positioned a couple of k’s out of Yanco. So, we are adjacent the substation there, our [project address 00:06:25]

site location is 120 Houghton Road. So, we are developing a 250 megawatt 4-hour BESS, so it's 1,100 megawatt-hours. The project site is currently used for horticulture and a variety of different farming uses. The majority of the site will continue to be farmed; we'll just be annexing off our BESS area.

We've been working pretty closely with the local community and Council for the past couple of years, with introductions to the project and we've currently got a VPA under exhibition with Leeton Shire Council as well. So, Council's agreed to the terms on that and we've put it out to the community for their feedback.

MR WILKINSON: And when does that expire, Marley, do you know?

MR BROWN: So, that will be up for – so, the exhibition will be finished at the end of this month. So, we're expecting to have that finalised early October/November this year.

MR WILKINSON: Yes, and it's around 8 hectares of land that is being used for the BESS project, which is adjacent the switching station. And just to clarify, Ken, because I think in the introduction you mentioned it's Transgrid's 330 kV switching station. I just want to clarify that we're actually connecting on the 132 kV side of that.

MR KANOFSKI: Right, okay.

MR WILKINSON: So, this is the 132 kV connection that we're seeking from Transgrid.

MR KANOFSKI: Mm-hm.

MR WILKINSON: And just whilst we're speaking about Transgrid, I can also update that we've previously received the 534A letter from Transgrid and we're expecting the offer to connect in October as well. So, as it stands, our current project schedule is aligning to start construction in January 2026, with the commercial operation date being October 2027. Yes.

Is there anything else that you wanted from ACEnergy at this point? And apologies, I didn't introduce myself. My name is Danny Wilkinson, I'm the Head of Development for ACEnergy.

MR KANOFSKI: Okay. No, look, I think that's okay in terms of the where the site is and what it's doing. I guess, yes, it'd be good to work through, as I said, the kind of key issues which we see as kind of the land use compatibility in terms of the surrounding uses, and agricultural uses in particular. And also hazards and risks, particularly, I think particularly there's strong community concerns around fire risk at these sorts of facilities, and so I'd be interested to understand your assessment of the fire risk but also what are the plans and how would that work in practice if there was to be an issue.

MR WILKINSON: I mean, talking generally about the BESS industry itself, there's always the environmental risk, being fire as one of the major points, that as well as noise, as we know. And I think we've got Jane Bai, our Senior Project Development Engineer on the line and she can talk to the design guidelines and how we've designed the BESS appropriately to mitigate fire risk. So, Jane, do you mind just giving us an overview on that, please?

MS BAI: Yes, sure. Hi, this is Jane. Yes, so we have been working closely with the bushfire consultant and the preliminary hazard assessment consultant who assess the fire risk in a broader context, and have given our experience of developing projects in Victoria, we are adhering to the CFA Guideline for the fire protection design of the site. As you know, the CFA Guideline has been held in high regard in other states as well.

So, regarding the project design, we are making sure enough asset protection zone has been capped between the proposed landscape and any electrical infrastructure on all sides of the facility. In addition, there is sufficient separation distance between battery containers and between the batteries and other electrical infrastructure.

In addition, we have made sure there is sufficient access – sorry, sufficient access track will be provided at the perimeter of the site to ensure fire-fighting vehicles can access the site safely and efficiently. In addition, we will also be providing a minimum of 10,000 litres of fire-fighting water tank to the site dedicated for fire-fighting purpose.

And based on the recommendation from the PHA, we will also prepare a fire safety study and emergency plan to address – sorry, to make sure the detailed design can be fully equipped with all the required fire protection measures.

And I think it's also worth noting that from the product selection point of view, we are also making sure fire safety is our top priority. So, we will be using CATL batteries, which is a Tier 1 battery supplier from China, and the product is a containerised design with in-built fire suppression system, which means that in the unlikely case of a thermal runaway happening, then the in-built aerosol – sorry, before the aerosol is even triggered, there are sensors to detect and alarm the fire protection system, and the aerosol will be triggered to treat any early signs of fire.

And the site will also be monitored not only by the site operators but also 24/7 remote monitoring. So, there are double layers of that to ensure any early signs of fire will be acted on appropriately.

MR KANOFSKI: Yes, because this – and remind me, how far away is the Rural Fire Service location from your site?

MS BAI: Oh, it's actually quite close, I think it's about 1 kilometre. So, we will be using an intersection of Irrigation Way and Houghton Road and the fire shed is just by the intersection.

MR KANOFSKI: Mm-hm. And so, are they comfortable with the 10,000 litres as being sufficient?

MS BAI: So, the assessment and the design have been referred to them during the EIS stage, and they came back with no issues with that. But noting that a fire safety study will be prepared for the site, so –

MR KANOFSKI: Yes, it's still to happen.

MS BAI: Yes. If a bigger water tank is required, then we will surely comply with that.

MR KANOFSKI: Ah-ha. And what is the setback – how far back from the vegetation are the, is the – how far is the setback between the nearest electrical component and the surrounding vegetation?

MS BAI: It's a minimum of 10 metres.

MR KANOFSKI: Right, okay. And again, presumably the fire study will test that as well.

MS BAI: Yes, yes, that's included.

MR KANOFSKI: In terms of that. Just – I want to go onto noise in a second, but Bronwyn, anything more on fire for the minute?

DR EVANS: I had some questions, but Jane has answered them. My questions were around the technology and chemicals in place. But the in-built fire protection system that you explained in the containers would have answered that. So, thank you, and we'll have a chance when we're on site to see those setbacks and understand them. No other questions, thanks Ken.

MR KANOFSKI: Okay, thanks Bronwyn. So, just moving onto noise for a second. Let's deal with this in two minor sections, which is construction noise and then kind of operational noise.

So, it's my understanding is, we get above 50 decibels, I think, in construction, and therefore it's termed – I think it's – I can't remember the exact terminology, but it's affected, you know, there are noise affects at nearest receivers. It's not highly affected, it's affected, I think, in terms of the scale.

Can you talk to me about the duration and the kind of construction sequencing, what are the most noisy activities and how would they be scheduled?

MS BAI: Yes, so the most noisy activities would be the excavation of trenches and also the concrete installation. Well, I have to say that we don't have very detailed construction plan as of yet, among those eight months of construction. But we will – so, once we have more details on how much noise will be generated in each month or even each week of the construction, we will act as per the proposed Construction

Noise Management Plan and to notify the relevant resident of the potential exceedance and duration for that, and then take actions from there. So, if, for example, if it requires relocation or other measures, we will act according to the management plan.

5 **DR EVANS:** Can I just ask a question, Jane. There would be, I expect, standard dB levels for excavation of trenches, equipment, that would be part of the delivery of concrete to site and maybe batching. Is that part of the information you have already, or that's information you need to gather?

10 **MS BAI:** Sorry.

DR EVANS: So, noise is measured in decibels.

15 **MS BAI:** Yes.

15 **DR EVANS:** And there would be standard decibel expectations for trench excavation, for any concreting whether it's on-site batching with a piece of those Ready Mix site things that come to site. Do you already have an expectation of what those decibel levels will be, or is that part of your noise study before you can answer more precisely the question that Ken gave?

20 **MR WILKINSON:** Yes, I think we would prefer to take that one on notice, just because we're talking about specifics.

25 **DR EVANS:** Okay.

30 **MR WILKINSON:** And generally speaking, the operational noise is where we spent a lot of our time, and the construction is temporary. So, apologies, we don't have all the answers for you right now, but we'll definitely come back to you with specifics in an email and in writing.

DR EVANS: Okay.

35 **MR KANOFSKI:** We'd be interested to know in that whether you're intending to batch concrete on site or whether you're going to batch it remotely and truck it in.

40 **MR WILKINSON:** Yes, and that's definitely a conversation we're having with the construction team as we speak, as we, you know, get closer to the construction start dates, for sure.

40 **MR KANOFSKI:** Okay. Because I would have thought from a noise perspective, it's the batching of the concrete would be – is the noisy activity.

45 **MR WILKINSON:** It's that and it's driving the piles into the ground. So, essentially these are the noisiest activities, as well as the excavation from the diggers that Jane mentioned before. Just talking about the specifics I'd like to come back to you on, that's all.

MR KANOFSKI: Okay. Bronwyn, anything more on construction noise before I go onto operational noise?

5 **DR EVANS:** No, that's fine. I think when we see the site tomorrow, we'll understand the extent to which you need to do any site levelling, the size of the pilings you'll need to be drilling. So, that'll give us a sense of the duration of that potential noise. I think the site visit will help with that, thank you.

10 **MR KANOFSKI:** Yes. And I think there's talk about noise from the intersection upgrade, but it's a relatively short duration, from what I can see. And I can't imagine that being too impactful.

15 So, yes, moving onto operational noise. So, as I understand it, the level doesn't reach the requirement for you to put noise attenuation, but you are going to put noise attenuation in any event. Is that – have I read that right? In terms of a noise wall.

MR WILKINSON: Yes. Jane, do you mind answering that one?

20 **MS BAI:** Yes. So, well, we will need a noise wall in order to reach the compliance for the receivers.

MR KANOFSKI: Okay. And so, just remind me again, so what's the – what level is compliance – how many decibel maximum at night?

25 **MS BAI:** Yes. So, for residential receivers, that's 35 dB at night. But for industrial, that's 68.

MR KANOFSKI: Mm-hm. Yes.

30 **MR WILKINSON:** And generally measuring against residential in the rural zoning dwellings. So, you are looking at about 35 dBA night-time. And essentially that's how we do our modelling, is on the worst-case scenario of 100% to make sure we're meeting the worst case compliance.

35 **MR KANOFSKI:** Mm-hm, mm-hm. And so, you need to noise wall to get it down compliant at the nearest receiver.

MR WILKINSON: Essentially, yes.

40 **MS BAI:** Yes, that's right.

MR KANOFSKI: Okay.

45 **MR WILKINSON:** And that's purely just due to distance from the project size and over the distance, its attenuation, I think noise walls are one of the most common sorts of attenuation at this point of what we're seeing for BESS.

MR KANOFSKI: Mm-hm.

MR WILKINSON: There will be another study done as well prior to construction and another study once the project's operational, and we'll obviously make sure that it is compliant – it has to be compliant [cross-talk 00:22:43].

MR KANOFSKI: And presumably that's all done, all that modelling is done on a worst case part of weather conditions basis.

MR WILKINSON: Yes.

MS BAI: Yes.

MR KANOFSKI: You know, so it's compliant at its noisiest.

MR WILKINSON: Mm-hm. Yes, it's modelled as a worst case.

MR KANOFSKI: Yes, yes. Okay.

MR WILKINSON: So, it assumes everything is running in one go at a 100% at night-time. So, you can imagine if it's compliant at night-time, it should be compliant in the daytime as the criteria is set higher.

MR KANOFSKI: Yes. And the noise from these facilities is constant, isn't it, in the sense that it's not a variable ... There's no cycles in which it's more noisier than other cycles, is there – is it a constant noise?

MR WILKINSON: Jane, you can probably answer this a bit better than I can. But from a charging and discharging perspective, if you're charging at the same capacity it'll be the same humming noise. So, constant noise for whilst you're charging and discharging, yes. But taking note, obviously, it's a four-hour battery, so it's not going to be running 24 hours.

MR KANOFSKI: Yes, yes, so it's only ...

MR WILKINSON: Yes. But when it is charging and discharging at 100%, it needs to be compliant with the EPA's guidelines.

MR KANOFSKI: Yes. Okay. Bronwyn?

DR EVANS: No, nothing, thank you.

MR KANOFSKI: Okay. And you talked in your introduction about community consultation. Can you just remind me, just what community consultation have you done, what's still in progress, what's happening, and kind of what's your ongoing plan?

MR WILKINSON: Yes. Marley, do you mind taking that one?

5 **MR BROWN:** Yes, I can talk to that one. So, community consultation has been an ongoing process. So, pretty well since we first signed the project, we worked with BD, so an external consultant, to assist us with the SIA and EIS. So, I was on the ground there for the majority of that, so walking around the township of Yanco, door knocking with the nearby residents. We met with all the adjacent farm holdings as well. So, anyone within 2 kilometres of the site we did a door-knock of.

10 We also met with business owners in town as well. And held an open community information session at the Powerhouse Museum, and that was advertised locally for people to drop in and come and hear about the project. We also did a number of online sessions as well.

15 So, yes, the community consultation process for this one has been quite straight forward, I think. We didn't really experience too much animosity against the project locally, it was actually quite well received, which is nice, because often these projects can be a little bit controversial in some instances. But yes, for this one, yes, it was really straight forward.

20 **MR KANOFSKI:** Mm-hm. And what were the local – the people within 2 kilometres, what sort of issues, what are they raising as the core issues for them?

25 **MR BROWN:** Look, there was a few things. You think fire, like, that's something that comes up a lot, it actually didn't come a huge amount in this instance. There was some of the farmers which is Houghton Road, just wanted to make sure that they were going to be consulted and just heard in regards to traffic.

30 There's the grain facility on Houghton Road, so they just wanted to make sure our peak construction didn't overlap with their peak grain harvest. So, and yes, just to make sure that the truck were communicating along that road.

35 Given the location, it's not – you know, it is a fair way out of town, the nearest receiver is sort of south and that's actually a house rented by the landowner, so he owns that property as well. So, we were able to get good access and have a good chat with the current tenant there, and one of his concerns was noise.

MR KANOFSKI: Mm-hm.

40 **MR BROWN:** But he's a local police officer in the area and he was actually – he liked the idea of a noise wall around it because he thought that would just add a bit of extra protection for the asset itself and, you know, just screen it off a bit more from the community.

45 **MR KANOFSKI:** Mm-hm, mm-hm, okay. All right. Just moving on. Where are we at with Council on the VPA?

MR BROWN: Yes, so that's been a bit of an ongoing process with Council. We finalised the VPA agreement and had it agreed by the councillors at the end of August,

and so that was then – it's currently in an exhibition period, so that goes for four weeks. And that gives an opportunity for local community to comment on the VPA.

And then following that exhibition period, any comments and things will be taken into account. There may be some minor adjustments made the VPA between ACEnergy and Council, but at this stage we're – I'm not expecting too many changes to what's currently being proposed, so.

MR KANOFSKI: And what's the dollar figure on that?

MR BROWN: I can give you more detail about it if you need or ...

MR KANOFSKI: What's the total dollar figure on the VPA?

MR BROWN: So, three million.

MR KANOFSKI: Three million.

MR BROWN: Yes. And that's – and we split that up over ... During that community consultation period, we spent a fair bit of time with the local Powerhouse Museum, and talking to the guys there, they've – you know, it's a not-for-profit and they wanted to do a few different initiatives which they didn't have the funding for. So, we sort of thought, well, as good neighbours, we wanted to contribute towards them.

And then there were a couple of other initiatives within the community that were identified during that community engagement process. So, when we were finalising the VPA with Council, we wanted to make sure that those individual voices that were nearest the project were heard. So, there's a few key things in there. I think 150,000 going towards the – assuming everything gets approved – it will go towards the Powerhouse Museum, and then there's a few other art festivals and things within Yanco that we'll be sponsoring as well.

MR KANOFSKI: Okay.

MR WILKINSON: Just to be clear on that, Ken, sorry, just before you move on. We got to the three million based on the New South Wales guideline of \$150 per megawatt hour over 20 years.

MR KANOFSKI: Right, okay. So, that's how you got to the ...

MR BROWN: Yes.

MR KANOFSKI: Because I think the Council kind of comes at it from a slightly different angle, but interestingly, it comes to around the same number.

MR WILKINSON: Correct. Correct.

MR KANOFSKI: I think they talk about 1%, right?

MR WILKINSON: Yes, yes, that's based on the asset value, I think. But there's a different way to calculate it.

5 **MR KANOFSKI:** Yes, fortuitously it comes out the same number.

MR BROWN: Yes.

10 **MR KANOFSKI:** Other questions, Bronwyn, on any topic?

DR EVANS: Yes, Marley, I had a couple of questions around the community consultation. How many people turned up, say, the Powerhouse Museum and took the time to meet with you?

15 **MR BROWN:** I'd have to – I can send you the exact numbers, but it was less than – I think it was about six people.

DR EVANS: Oh, okay.

20 **MR BROWN:** Yes, it really was a – it was a really small turnout, yes.

DR EVANS: And the other question related to that, was visual impact raised at all? Noting that the chap, the policeman who's renting said the noise wall was good for sort of shielding, but more broadly, was there any concerns or recommendations or requests for more visual screening?

25 **MR BROWN:** Yes, I'd have to review it to see how many people brought that up. Given the location from town, it's quite shielded by the canal. Also, we were – we'd planned since day one to put native vegetation screening around the project. So, we were able to – when we were introducing the project, that was something that we were letting people know about. So, I think potentially that may have quelled any concerns about it pretty early on.

30 **DR EVANS:** Okay, thank you. That was my other question. Thank you.

35 **MR BROWN:** That's okay. Sorry, just one more note on that is, because we are right next to the substation, so it's not – yes, it is a rural landscape but it's not the first sort of asset of its kind in the area.

40 **DR EVANS:** Yes, yes.

MR KANOFSKI: Mm-hm. And you've reviewed the recommended conditions from the Department?

45 **MR WILKINSON:** Yes. Jane's gone over them pretty thoroughly.

MR KANOFSKI: And you're comfortable with those conditions?

MS BAI: Yes, we are.

MR KANOFSKI: Okay. Is there anything else we need to cover – Bronwyn?

5 **DR EVANS:** Nothing else from me, thank you, and yes, I look forward to seeing the site tomorrow.

MR KANOFSKI: Yes, likewise. Brad, Isaac, anything I've missed?

10 **MR JAMES:** Nothing from me, Ken.

MR KANOFSKI: Okay. Is there anything, Danny, Jane, Marley, anything additional that you would like to add?

15 **MR WILKINSON:** Not necessarily, maybe just noting this project is going to contribute to both the Australian and New South Wales renewable energy targets.

MR KANOFSKI: Mm-hm.

20 **MR WILKINSON:** I think New South Wales has got a total of at least 12 gigawatts of renewable energy by 2030, so this project can significantly contribute to that. And I think they've got a 2 gigawatt long-duration storage target as well, by 2030, so this project would also contribute to that. So, just taking these into account, really.

25 **MR KANOFSKI:** Mm-hm, okay.

MS BAI: Hi Ken, I have a question. May I understand more about the timeline, given that the process for Yanco doesn't need a public meeting, instead a local meeting, and I saw that a standard timeframe for this kind of assessment is 32 calendar days. So, is
30 the Panel working towards that for Yanco?

MR KANOFSKI: Brad, do you want to talk timeframes?

MR JAMES: Yes, Jane, we're working towards that timeframe, that's our timeframe
35 for this project.

MR WILKINSON: And the reason Jane asked this question, so directly it's purely just because we're going through the financial close stages of the project as we lead up to construction. So, at the moment, like I mentioned earlier, we've received the 534A
40 letter from Transgrid, and now once we've – or if we receive a development approval, that gives us a really decent position to move forwards to construction, that's all.

MR KANOFSKI: Mm-hm.

45 **MS BAI:** Thanks. So, just calculating quickly, from the date when the referral was made from DPHI, that was the 9th of September, then adding 32 calendar days, that gives us about the 16th of October. Are you happy with that?

MR JAMES: I'd say that's accurate, Jane, yes, we'll be working within that timeframe.

MS BAI: Okay.

DR EVANS: Noting that if the clock needs to stop at any stage ...

MR KANOFSKI: We'll let you know.

DR EVANS: [Cross-talk 00:35:21] ... pose a question, we'll let you know. But that's when the clock stops – that's not included in your 32 calendar days.

MR JAMES: Thanks, Bronwyn, yes. Yes, Jane, if there is a need for further info, we'll go out to various stakeholders and we'll pause our assessment, we'll stop the clock for that period while we wait for further info, that may happen, but if not, yes, that's our timeframe.

MS BAI: Yes, no problem. So, if there are any questions for us, we're committed to providing a response promptly.

MR KANOFSKI: Okay. Thank you. And yes, there's a couple of things we took on notice today, so if you could get those back to us, that'll be fantastic.

MR WILKINSON: Yes, Ken, so just to clear that up. So, one was the construction noise, we were going to get back to you on that specifically.

MR KANOFSKI: Mm-hm.

MR WILKINSON: Was there anything else that I've missed? Because I've just got that noted.

MR KANOFSKI: I can't – Isaac, Brad?

MR JAMES: If that was the only question, Ken or Danny, and I'll get that to you via email today.

MR WILKINSON: Okay.

MR KANOFSKI: Yes, so we'll confirm the questions to be responded to.

MR WILKINSON: Yes. Thank you all for your time.

MR KANOFSKI: Okay. Thank you everyone.

DR EVANS: Thank you.

MR WILKINSON: Bye.

MS BAI: Bye.

>THE MEETING CONCLUDED