#### The Prime Role of the IPCN

Ensuring decisions regarding State Significant Developments align with NSW planning policies and legislation, which are designed to:

- 1) promote the well-being of citizens,
- 2) protect the environment, and
- 3) support economic growth.

## How does the IPCN perform this function?

Through thorough research, determining that:

- 1) the underlying reason for a project is valid; and
- 2) the project will promote the well-being of citizens, protect the environment & support economic growth.

Slide No 2 of 13

# Reason for Project rooted in Anthropogenic Global Warming

 This project is one of many aiming to reduce emissions of Carbon Dioxide on the grounds these emissions will cause a catastrophic warming of the earth's atmosphere.

Has the IPCN investigated whether this reasoning is valid?

Slide No 3 of 13

## Anthropogenic Global Warming a.k.a. "Climate Change" is a Scam

- Please visit my webpage at <u>https://kevinloughrey.com.au/Climate/Facts.html</u> to learn why AGW is a scam. Also watch the documentary, <u>https://climatethemovie.net</u>
- From many different perspectives, all based in solid science, AGW is a huge fraud designed to destroy Western economies to the benefit of the CCP, create great wealth for a few and impoverish the masses.
- The IPCN has a duty to investigate this allegation.

Has the IPCN done this?

Slide No 4 of 13

#### Promote the well-being of citizens

Returning to the prime role of the IPCN

Given AGW is a scam, item 1. is not achieved by proceeding with this project. (I will deal with the economic damage done by these projects later.)

Slide No 5 of 13

#### Protect the environment


- As the IPCN is well aware, these windmills and batteries involve considerable destruction of the environment. Unless they are absolutely vital they should not proceed.
- Given their justification is based on a fraud, they are not vital to saving the planet and therefore should not proceed.

  Slide No 6 of 13

#### Support economic growth

The total expenditure on subsidies, paid by taxpayers and consumers, for the renewable energy industry in Australia from 2008 to 2025, including wind and solar farms, rooftop solar, solar water heaters, and related energy efficiency measures like low-powered light bulbs and efficient water heaters, is approximately \$50 billion most of which went to Communist China. For this figure 25 coal fired power stations could have been constructed.

## **Economic Effect of Intermittent Injection of Electricity into Grid**




#### Sources

Prices 1955 - 1980: Electricity in Australia, prepared for CIGRE by Frank Brady AM (former CEO, Electricity Commission of NSW), 1996 1980 - 2016: ABS 6401.0 Consumer Price Index

2017 - 2018: Adjustment (15% nominal increase) to take account of price increases announced by major elect distributors in June 2017 Intermittent power generation (Terra Watt hours, TWh) from Figure 4.2 in Independent Review into the Future of the National Electricity Market


#### Cost of electricity using Brown Coal is ~ 2.5 to 3 cents/kWh



Graph by Jo Nova Data: AEMO QED Q1, 2022

Slide No 9 of 13

## The Cost of Electricity vrs Consumer Price Index



10 of 13

## Failure to Obtain meaningful performance commitment

 The IPCN has not demanded from the suppliers of these systems any commitment to a meaningful performance specification, namely:

What will be the cost of a kWh coming from this system with a 0.9999 confidence level of supply satisfying demand?

The answer to this is likely around 20 cents/kWh whereas brown coal is more than 6 times cheaper.

Slide No 11 of 13

### The IPCN's Obligation based on its core criteria

- promote the well-being of citizens ...No!
- protect the environment ...No!
- support economic growth ...No!

The IPCN must not allow any further projects of this nature to proceed unless the proposers can prove what I have presented here to be false.

Slide No 12 of 13

#### **QUESTIONS?**