

Pottinger Wind Farm IPC 5<sup>th</sup> June 2025 SSD-59235464

Yass Landscape Guardians Inc.

## Pottinger Wind Farm public meeting Day 2 - Hay with NSW Independent Planning Commission

[View on Google Calendar](#)

When Thu Jun 5, 2025 12:34pm – 12:39pm (AEST)

Where

Who noreply@calendly.com\*

Speaking on behalf of the Yass Landscape Guardians Inc. We wish to oppose the approval of Pottinger Wind Farm SSD-59235464

Why are generations sources being planned hundreds of kilometres from the load centres of the NSW East Coast meaning New Castle, Sydney and Wollongong a distance of over 700 kilometres referencing AI overview. Then for Victoria Melbourne? Over 500 kilometres referencing AI overview? Proponents of so-called renewable energy projects cite “marginal losses?” Losses on transmission using HV AC are known to be high? Thus, exasperating the reasoning for building intermittent generation sources 100s of kilometres from the load centres?

Lack of compulsory decommissioning legislation Australia wide for these so-called renewable energy sources should “ring alarm bells?”

Someva advertise that they will be “here” for the long haul for the Pottinger Energy Park project? The reality is with any of these projects that they are on sold with regularity? Once the project is unsold the infrastructure decommissioning agreement if any between the developer and the landholder becomes null and void?

As an example the original Crookwell Wind Farm approved by the Upper Lachlan Shire Council circa 1998 has been unsold from original developer Pacific Power. Currently owned by TILT Renewables and as approving authority Upper Lachlan Shire Council cannot enforce a decommissioning of these small towers due to lack of decommissioning contract? Meaning the Upper Lachlan Shire Council has a massive clean up issue on its hands for a redundant wind turbine project? So Approving Authority and Host Landholders beware?

Erosion and habitat destruction and lack of support by NSW DPIRD Compliance Department? Citing the Rye Park Wind Turbine development construction as an example, even with a multitude of complaints against the original developer TILT Renewables regarding habitat destruction breaches, massive and continuing soil erosion issues the so-called NSW DPIRD Compliance Department's predecessor never ventured away from their desks?

That is the NSW DPIRD Compliance Department's predecessor never came near the Rye Park wind turbine project?

Besides impacting the habitat of just 2 bird species that I am aware of the Major Mitchell Cockatoo Vulnerable NSW and The Plains Wanderer Endangered NSW, the Pottinger wind turbine development is directly in the water shed of the Murray River and therefore the inevitable erosion will directly impact the Murray River? So, Hay Shire Council and potential Pottinger Wind Farm hosts don't expect any assistance from the NSW DPIRD Compliance Department in the real event of an environmental issue because of [Someva](#) work practices?

Pottinger Wind Farm IPC 5<sup>th</sup> June 2025 SSD-59235464

## Pottinger Wind Farm public meeting Day 2 - Hay with NSW Independent Planning Commission

[REDACTED]

When Thu Jun 5, 2025 12:34pm – 12:39pm (AEST)

Where [REDACTED]

Who noreply@calendly.com\*

247 wind turbines with an alleged output of 1,300MW

Referencing AGL and [Someva](#) Pottinger Energy Park project,

This will be supported by a 400 MW / 1,600 MWh grid-scale battery connected to the Project EnergyConnect transmission network.

Energy Connect has a bidirectional capacity as a 330KV transmission line of 800MW?

Therefore, alone with this project a discrepancy of 500MW power transfer between alleged output of 1,300MW and transfer capacity of 800MW?

Junction Rivers with an alleged output of 750MW is also to connect to Energy Connect?

### South West Renewable Energy Zone

The South West Renewable Energy Zone will initially unlock 3.56 gigawatts of clean energy generation

VNIWest referencing AI Overveiw has a transfer capacity of 1.93GW a discrepancy of 1.63GW?

You see what I am pointing out is that planned transmission infrastructure fails to have the capacity to transfer generation out of the South West REZ?

Therefore, why are further generation sources planned and approved without due diligence being applied to proposed transmission infrastructure capacity to transfer that generation?

This is only 1 aspect of approving the Pottinger wind farm SSD-59235464 IPC that needs to consider before approving this project?