



WAVERLEY
COUNCIL

PLANNING PROPOSAL

50 Botany Street, Bondi Junction
PP-2/2024

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	3
PART 1 – OBJECTIVES AND INTENDED OUTCOMES.....	3
PART 2 – EXPLANATION OF PROVISIONS.....	6
PART 3 – JUSTIFICATION OF STRATEGIC AND SITE-SPECIFIC MERIT	7
PART 4 – MAPPING.....	17
PART 5 – COMMUNITY CONSULTATION.....	18
PART 6 – PROJECT TIMELINE	18

Planning Proposal Information

Table 1 – Council Versions

No.	Date	Version
1	20 June 2024	Waverley Local Planning Panel for advice.
2.	13 August 2024	Report to Council

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Planning Proposal was prepared by Willowtree Planning Pty Ltd on behalf of Bondi Exchange Pty Ltd (the Proponent) and submitted to Waverley Council (the Council) as the Planning Proposal Authority in support of a site-specific Planning Proposal at 50 Botany Street, Bondi Junction (the subject site). This report has been prepared which provides a council officer assessment of the Planning Proposal, as well as provides an explanation of the proposed provisions and mapping changes.

The Planning Proposal seeks to amend the following controls in the Waverley Local Environmental Plan 2012 (WLEP2012) as it applies to the subject site:

- rezone part of the subject site to R3 Medium Density Residential;
- introduce a minimum lot size of 232m²; and
- remove the heritage listing from the site.

The proposal also seeks to provide a contribution for affordable housing with the proposed mechanism as outlined in this Planning Proposal via clause in the Waverley Local Environmental Plan (WLEP).


The proposal – subject to a number of key considerations discussed in this report – is considered to have strategic and site-specific merit.

PART 1 – OBJECTIVES AND INTENDED OUTCOMES

1.1 Description of the site

The subject site is located at 50 Botany Street, Bondi Junction, Lot 1 DP619753 and is approximately 400m from the Bondi Junction Commercial centre as the crow flies. The site has long been used for telecommunications purposes and was previously owned by Telstra having been recently sold to the Proponent of the subject Planning Proposal. The site has previously had development consent granted for the removal of the larger tower structure and erection of a smaller ‘monopole’ telecommunications structure (DA-79/2020/A) and separately for Torrens title subdivision of one lot into two (DA-62/2023). The site was previously owned by Telstra, who sold the site to the new owner (the Proponent) in 2023. The monopole telecommunications structure has been erected and the telecommunications tower has been removed in early 2024. All that remains on the site aside from the new structure which will be subject to a future subdivision is a defunct building associated with the sites former use, a small Ausgrid substation (to be removed) along with some existing trees and vegetation. The formal subdivision which forms part of one of the previous approvals has not yet occurred and therefore the subject site remains as one lot.

Figure 1 Aerial view of subject site in 2024 (with former large telecommunications tower removed)



The site is currently zoned SP2- Infrastructure, has a maximum Floor Space Ratio (FSR) of 0.75:1 and a maximum building height of 12.5m and contains a heritage listing related to a (removed) telecommunications tower and is located in the Botany Street Heritage Conservation Area.

Figure 2 Existing zoning of the site.




Figure 3 Existing FSR of the site




Figure 4 Existing height of buildings of the site.





Figure 5 Existing heritage considerations



1.2 Objectives and intended outcomes

This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the WLEP 2012 as per Part 2.1 of this report to:

- Facilitate urban renewal of the land that is no longer required for SP2 Infrastructure (Telecommunications) purposes;
- Minimise land use conflicts by permitting land uses that are sympathetic to the existing residential character of the area;
- Deliver new housing supply and improve dwelling diversity in the local area by providing appropriate housing typologies that without compromising the environmental or heritage significance of the area;
- Make a financial contribution to the provision of affordable housing; and
- Remove a redundant heritage listing.

PART 2 – EXPLANATION OF PROVISIONS

2.1 Intended Provisions

This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Waverley Local Environmental Plan 2012 as follows:

- Rezone a portion of the site from SP2 – Infrastructure to R3 Medium Density Residential.
- Remove the heritage listing.
- Introduce a minimum lot size of 232 square metres (consistent with surrounding).

In addition, the Proposal seeks to activate a provision deferred as part of the finalisation Waverley Affordable Housing Contribution Scheme Implementation Planning Proposal. This provision was supported by the DPHI but not included in the Affordable Housing Contribution Scheme Implementation Planning Proposal as Parliamentary Counsel guidelines did not allow the inclusion of blank schedules. The provision would include a contribution rate of 9.27% in line with Council's Affordable Housing Contribution Scheme.

PART 3 – JUSTIFICATION OF STRATEGIC AND SITE-SPECIFIC MERIT

This section details the reasons for the proposed LEP amendment and is based on a series of questions and matters for consideration as outlined in the LEP Making Guidelines (August 2023). The issues to be addressed include the strategic planning context of the amendments, Strategic Merit, Site-Specific Merit, potential State and Commonwealth agency interests, and environmental, social and economic impacts.

Strategic merit

Table 2 – Assessment of Proposal against Strategic Merit Test

a) Does the proposal:	
Give effect to the relevant regional plan outside of the Greater Sydney Region, the relevant district plan within the Greater Sydney Region, and/or a corridor/precinct plan applying to the site. This includes any draft regional, district or corridor/precinct plans released for public comment or a place strategy for a strategic precinct including any draft place strategy; or	Yes, the proposal is consistent with the Greater Sydney Regional Plan and Eastern City District Plan as discussed later in this report.
Demonstrate consistency with the relevant LSPS or strategy that has been endorsed by the Department or required as part of a regional or district plan; or	Yes, subject to a number of considerations discussed further below and under 3.1.
Respond to a change in circumstances that has not been recognised by the existing planning framework. Factors that lead to responding to a change in circumstances may include, but not exclusively relate to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key infrastructure investment or opportunity to plan for future infrastructure unanticipated by the existing strategic planning framework • Response to key Government priorities – Premier's Priorities, climate change, or a shift in government policy (e.g. NSW Government's Net Zero Plan) • Changes to population and demographic trends and associated needs such as housing or jobs. 	Yes. The closure of the now redundant exchange building and removal of the large tower, replaced with a monopole.

3.1 Is the Planning Proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

No, the Planning Proposal is not a result of any strategic study or report.

3.2 Is the Planning Proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

The Planning Proposal is the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes. An amendment to the WLEP is required to achieve the change of zone, remove the heritage listing and introduce the minimum lot size. The inclusion of a site-specific clause for the contribution for affordable housing is also seen as the best way to apply such a contribution and provides most certain for Council and the Proponent and is less onerous financially for the Proponent than having to enter into a Voluntary Planning Agreement prior to the gazettal of the Planning Proposal.

3.3 Will the Planning Proposal give effect to the objectives and actions of the applicable regional or district plan or strategy (including any exhibited draft plans or strategies)?

The proposal is consistent with the objectives of a Metropolis of Three Cities Region Plan and the Eastern City District Plan as it is consistent with the applicable objectives and planning priorities as per the following tables.

Table 3 – Metropolis of Three Cities

Relevant Objectives	Comment
Objective 10 – Greater housing supply	The proposal will contribute toward greater housing supply by changing the existing zoning to allow for residential development.
Objective 11 Housing is more diverse and affordable.	The proposal will rezone the site to permit residential development which increases the opportunities for different types of housing to be provided on the site which may contribute toward housing diversity. In addition, the affordable housing contribution will assist in the provision of affordable housing in the Waverley LGA.
Objective 13 – Environmental heritage is identified, conserved and enhanced	There is no impact to environmental heritage as the existing heritage listing is now defunct. The removal of the heritage listing is seen as a housekeeping change in nature to reflect this change.
Objective 14 – A Metropolis of Three Cities – integrated land use and transport creates walkable and 30-minute cities.	The rezoning of the site to residential will allow for an appropriate land use in the subject location, given its close proximity to jobs, services and transport.

Table 4 – Eastern City District Plan

Relevant Planning Priorities	Comment
Planning Priority E5 – Providing housing supply, choice and affordability, with access to jobs, services and public transport	The proposal will enable residential development as a permissible use on, enabling the potential for housing to be delivered on the site which is within close proximity to jobs, services and public transport in Bondi Junction. The affordable housing contribution will contribute towards Council's affordable housing program which helps to provide affordable housing to reduce displacement from the Waverley LGA
Planning Priority E6: Creating and renewing great places and local centres, and respecting the District's heritage	There is no impact to environmental heritage as the existing heritage listing is now defunct. The removal of the heritage listing is seen as a housekeeping change in nature to reflect this change.

3.4 Is the planning proposal consistent with a council LSPS that has been endorsed by the Planning Secretary or GCC, or another endorsed local strategy or strategic plan?

The Planning Proposal is consistent with applicable local strategies and plans, as per the following tables.

Table 5 - Waverley Local Environmental Plan 2012

Relevant Aims Cl 1.2	Comment
to provide for a range of residential densities and range of housing types to meet the changing housing needs of the community,	The proposal will enable residential development as a permissible use on, enabling the potential for a range of different types of housing within the relevant zone to meet the changing house needs of the community.
To encourage the development of a variety of housing on land close to public transport, essential goods and services and open space,	The proposal will enable residential development as a permissible use on, enabling the potential for housing to be delivered on the site which is within proximity to jobs, services and public transport in Bondi Junction.
to provide an appropriate transition in building scale around the edge of centres to protect the amenity of surrounding residential areas,	The proposal will adopt the same development standards as the immediate surrounding area which have been calibrated to allow an appropriate transition around the edge of the Bondi Junction centre.
To identify, conserve and enhance the cultural, environmental, natural, aesthetic, social and built heritage, and existing scenic and cultural landscapes of Waverley, including the curtilage of Centennial Park, for current and future generations,	There is no impact to environmental heritage as the existing heritage listing is now defunct. The removal of the heritage listing is seen as a housekeeping change in nature to reflect this change.

Table 6 – Waverley Local Strategic Planning Statement (March 2020)

Relevant Planning Priorities	Comment
6. Facilitate a range of housing opportunities in the right places to support and retain a diverse community.	<p>The proposal will enable residential development as a permissible use on the site, enabling the potential for housing to be delivered on the site which is within close proximity to jobs, services and public transport in Bondi Junction.</p> <p>The affordable housing contribution will contribute towards Council's affordable housing program which helps to provide affordable housing to reduce displacement from the Waverley LGA</p>

In addition, when inclusive of an appropriate contribution toward affordable housing, the proposal is also considered to align with the following considerations in the Waverley LSPS:

- Planning Principle – Grow and improve the provision of social and affordable housing.
- Action - Review planning controls to support the delivery of affordable housing.

Table 7 – Waverley Local Housing Strategy

Relevant Priorities	Comment
H1. Manage housing growth sustainably and in the right locations	The proposal will facilitate modest development which is consistent with the surrounding areas. The subject site is in a good location, within close proximity to jobs, services and public transport.
H3 Increase the amount of affordable rental housing and social housing.	The proposal, when inclusive of an appropriate affordable housing contribution will help to increase the amount of affordable rental housing and social housing.
H5 Ensure new development is consistent with desired future character	The proposal does not propose to increase the development standards for the site beyond what is present on the site and in the immediate surrounding area. This will help to ensure new development is consistent with desired future character.

In addition to the discussion provided in tables 6 and 7, the Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with the Waverley LSPS and LHS subject to the following considerations.

Contribution toward affordable housing

Throughout the Planning Proposal submitted by the Proponent is reference to the provision of a contribution for affordable housing, it is considered as one of the objectives of the proposal and is considered to form part of the planning proposal itself. In the assessment Council Officers have undertaken, this is a key component to the proposal demonstrating strategic merit and support for the Planning Proposal to proceed.

The Waverley LSPS sets out a number of priorities and planning principles relevant to the planning proposal and in particular a monetary contribution for affordable housing.

Specifically, this includes:

- Housing Priority H3 Increase the amount of affordable rental housing and social housing.
- Planning Principle – Grow and improve the provision of social and affordable housing.
- Action - Review planning controls to support the delivery of affordable housing.

In addition, the Waverley Local Housing Strategy (LHS) speaks to Councils intent to implement targets on ‘uplift’ sites in section 7.3 *Affordable Housing Target*. This is implemented through Council’s Affordable Housing Contribution Scheme which was initially adopted in 2020 and has gone through a number of revisions since. The site is considered to receive a value ‘uplift’ from the proposed rezoning from a non-residential use to a residential one, despite no increases to development standards. This is consistent with how uplift is defined in the Greater Sydney Region Plan which speaks to “the uplift in land value created as a result of a rezoning decision, which should be measured using a consistent viability test and core assumptions” as well as ‘uplift’ in the Department’s guidelines for the preparation of affordable housing contribution schemes which also refers to the objectives of the Region Plan.

The scheme set a 10% contribution as a ‘target’ in Council’s AHCS with feasibility testing undertaken to determine the appropriate amount for this planning proposal. This is in line with the requirements set by the DPHI for Waverley’s AHCS, where a ‘case by case’ feasibility analysis is required to be undertaken for each planning proposal, to determine the appropriate contribution amount. This is also in line with guidelines on how to determine a viable affordable housing contribution rate as set

out in the DPHI *Guideline for Developing an Affordable Housing Contribution Scheme* set out in Appendix 2 of the guidelines.

This feasibility study was undertaken and is provided an attachment. The feasible amount equates to a contribution amount of 9.27% in line with Council's AHCS or the value of \$1,652,738. It should be noted that if the Planning Proposal proceeds to a Gateway Determination the feasibility study will be reviewed by the housing policy team at the DPHI, and the proposed percentage and contribution amount may be subject to refinement or change as part of the Gateway Determination, further negotiations with the Proponent and/or during the planning making process

Waverley Council position on SP2 – Infrastructure zoning

It should be noted that Waverley Council has a long-standing policy position of retaining its SP2 - Infrastructure zoning. This first occurred when Council moved to the standard instrument LEP in 2012 with the gazettal of the Waverley Local Environmental Plan 2012 and was reiterated in the adoption of the Waverley Local Strategic Planning Statement 2020-2036 (LSPS). Important in this context is that the retention of these zones only specifically relates for the purposes of social infrastructure. Council has maintained this position in the refusal of a previously lodged planning proposal at 34 Flood Street, Bondi, which proposed to rezone the site from SP2 – Place of Public Worship to R3 Medium Density Residential.

Notwithstanding, the subject site, contains telecommunications infrastructure – rather than social infrastructure, such as a health, school or public place of worship venue – and consequently this is not seen as a barrier to the site being rezoned particularly given some SP2 zoning will be retained to ensure the on-going telecommunications needs continue to be fulfilled.

Table 8 – Waverley Community Strategic Plan 2022-2032

Relevant Direction or Strategy	Comment
1.7.3. Grow the provision of social and affordable housing.	The proposal when inclusive of a contribution for affordable housing will help to grow the provision of affordable housing. A further discussion relating to the contribution amount is provided below.
1.7.4. Manage housing supply, choice and affordability with access to jobs, services and public transport.	The proposal will help to contribute to housing supply and choice with access to jobs, services, and public transport. The contribution toward affordable housing will help grow the provision of affordable housing.
2.6.2. Ensure new development provides high standard of design quality and does not adversely impact the amenity of neighbours or the wider community.	The proposal to retain the existing development standards will ensure that any new development does not adversely impact the amenity of neighbours or the wider community.
2.6.6. Celebrate the heritage and character of our centres and heritage sites, and protect and enhance their character.	The existing heritage listing is now redundant. Therefore the proposal will not impact existing heritage by removing the existing heritage listing.

3.5 Is the Planning Proposal consistent with any other applicable State and regional studies or strategies?

There are no other relevant State or regional studies or strategies.

3.6 Is the Planning Proposal consistent with applicable SEPPs?

This Planning Proposal is consistent with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies. Assessed the Planning Proposal against the State Environmental Planning Policies SEPPs:

Table 9 – Assessment of Proposal against the SEPPs

Title	Applicable	Comment
Housing SEPP	Yes	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.
Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development – SEPP 65	N/A	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.
Exempt and Complying Development Codes SEPP	N/A	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.
Transport and Infrastructure SEPP	N/A	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.
Primary Production SEPP	N/A	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.
Biodiversity and Conservation SEPP	N/A	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.
Resilience and Hazards SEPP	N/A	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.
Industry and Employment SEPP	N/A	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.
Resources and Energy SEPP	N/A	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.
Planning Systems SEPP	N/A	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.
Sustainable Buildings SEPP	N/A	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.
Precincts SEPPs: Eastern Harbour City SEPP, Western Parkland City SEPP, Central River City SEPP and Regional SEPP	N/A	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.

3.7 Is the Planning Proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (section 9.1 Directions) or key government priority?

The proposal is consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions under Section 9.1 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 as outlined in the below table.

Table 10 – Assessment of Proposal against relevant Ministerial Directions.

Ministerial Direction	Relevance	Consistency
1.4 Site Specific Provisions	<p>1) A planning proposal that will amend another environmental planning instrument in order to allow particular development to be carried out must either:</p> <p>(a) allow that land use to be carried out in the zone the land is situated on, or</p> <p>(b) rezone the site to an existing zone already in the environmental planning instrument that allows that land use without imposing any development standards or</p>	<p>The Planning Proposal is inconsistent with this Ministerial Direction as it does seek to impose a requirement (in relation to affordable housing) not already contained in the environmental planning instrument (WLEP).</p> <p>This is considered acceptable</p>

	<p>requirements in addition to those already contained in that zone, or</p> <p>(c) allow that land use on the relevant land without imposing any development standards or requirements in addition to those already contained in the principal environmental planning instrument being amended.</p> <p>(2) A planning proposal must not contain or refer to drawings that show details of the proposed development.</p>	<p>as this is the best means to impose a site-specific affordable housing contribution requirement in line with Council's AHCS, which has been supported by the DPHI.</p> <p>It should also be noted Council has previously implemented an affordable housing requirement which was inconsistent with this Ministerial Direction as part of the Planning Proposal at 99-117 Birrell Street, Waverley, finalised in 2022.</p> <p>Precedent also exists relating to site-specific requirements and provisions for affordable housing in many other Local Environment Plans in New South Wales as this is an appropriate means to levy contributions through the planning process.</p>
3.2 Heritage Conservation	<p>A planning proposal must contain provisions that facilitate the conservation of:</p> <p>(a) items, places, buildings, works, relics, moveable objects or precincts of environmental heritage significance to an area, in relation to the historical, scientific, cultural, social, archaeological, architectural, natural or aesthetic value of the item, area, object or place, identified in a study of the environmental heritage of the area,</p> <p>(b) Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places that are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974, and</p> <p>(c) Aboriginal areas, Aboriginal objects, Aboriginal places or landscapes identified by an Aboriginal heritage survey prepared by or on behalf of an Aboriginal Land Council, Aboriginal body or public authority and provided to the relevant planning authority, which identifies the area, object, place or landscape as being of heritage significance to Aboriginal culture and people.</p>	<p>The proposal will not impact environmental conservation as the proposed removal of the heritage listing relates to a heritage listing which is now redundant due to the removal of the former telecommunications tower subject to the listing. Any new proposed development resulting from the rezoning will need to be sympathetic to the surrounding heritage items and heritage conservation areas.</p>
4.4 Remediation of Contaminated Land	<p>(1) A planning proposal authority must not include in a particular zone (within the meaning of the local environmental plan) any land to which this direction applies if the inclusion of the land in that zone would permit a change of use of the land, unless:</p> <p>(a) the planning proposal authority has considered whether the land is contaminated, and</p>	<p>A Preliminary Site Investigation (PSI) was provided with the proponent submission of documentation.</p> <p>The PSI concluded the land is likely to be suitable for the proposed use and</p>

	<p>(b) if the land is contaminated, the planning proposal authority is satisfied that the land is suitable in its contaminated state (or will be suitable, after remediation) for all the purposes for which land in the zone concerned is permitted to be used, and</p> <p>(c) if the land requires remediation to be made suitable for any purpose for which land in that zone is permitted to be used, the planning proposal authority is satisfied that the land will be so remediated before the land is used for that purpose. In order to satisfy itself as to paragraph 1(c), the planning proposal authority may need to include certain provisions in the local environmental plan.</p> <p>(2) Before including any land to which this direction applies in a particular zone, the planning proposal authority is to obtain and have regard to a report specifying the findings of a preliminary investigation of the land carried out in accordance with the contaminated land planning guidelines.</p>	<p>recommends further investigation to occur as part of any proposed development. The findings of the PSI are sufficient to proceed to a gateway determination.</p> <p>Should the DPHI seek more information resulting from its assessment, a further study could be conducted post-gateway prior to gazettal and included as a condition of the gateway determination.</p>
6.1 Residential Zones	<p>A Planning Proposal must include provisions that encourage the provision of housing that will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Broaden the choice of building types and locations available in the housing market, • Make more efficient use of existing infrastructure and services, and • Reduce the consumption of land for housing and associated urban development on the urban fringe and • Be of good design. 	<p>The proposal is consistent with the objectives of this direction as it seems to encourage residential development through the change of zone. The proposed inclusion of a site-specific clause related to the provision of affordable housing will also help to broaden the availability of affordable housing in the Waverley LGA.</p>

Site-specific Merit

Table 11 – Assessment of Proposal against Site Specific Merit Test

b) Does the proposal have site-specific merit, having regard to the following:	
The natural environment on the site to which the proposal relates and other affected land (including known significant environmental areas, resources or hazards).	It is not anticipated the proposal will have an impact on the natural environment on the site. The concept scheme provided shows the retention of a number of mature trees on site and the remainder of the site where the majority of development is likely to occur already contains existing built form or hard impermeable surfaces. Landscaping accompanying

	any future development on the site may also assist to enhance the ecological value of the site.
Existing uses, approved uses, and likely future uses of land in the vicinity of the land to which the proposal relates	The proposal aligns with the existing uses surrounding the site as it proposes to adopt the same zoning and by retaining the development standards, will have the same development standards.
Services and infrastructure that are or will be available to meet the demands arising from the proposal and any proposed financial arrangements for infrastructure provision.	The proposal will not result in any additional use of or need for infrastructure given the relatively minor nature and yield of new dwellings it may enable. It is well located within Bondi Junction, a major public transport interchange.

3.8 Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected because of the proposal?

No, there are not expected to be any adverse impacts on critical habitat or threatened species, populations, or ecological communities.

3.9 Are there any other likely environmental effects of the Planning Proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

Is it not anticipated there will be any likely environmental effects of the planning proposal that cannot be managed at the development application stage.

The site forms part of Council's habitat corridor and has no trees listed on the significant tree register. An Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) was submitted as part of the planning proposal. A site visit was undertaken by Council's Tree Management Officer who also reviewed the AIA and the health and status of the existing trees and trees on site. In their review, the Tree Management Officer supported the retention of the trees listed for retention as well as the proposed removal of five smaller trees, all of which were either identified as being suppressed by the building and other trees or self-sown.

3.10 Has the Planning Proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

The Planning Proposal has not identified any social and economic effects. A social impact assessment was undertaken in line with Council's requirements as this issue relates to planning proposals. The document was peer reviewed, with some minor clarifications and improvements requirements. A supplementary updated report was submitted and deemed to be appropriate. This is provided as part of the attachments.

In addition, the provision of a contribution toward Council's affordable housing program, in line with Council's Affordable Housing Contribution Scheme (AHCS) ensures the benefits of the financial value uplift of the size, resulting from the rezoning are shared and ultimately provide a public benefit.

3.11 Is there adequate public infrastructure for the Planning Proposal?

The subject site is within close, walkable proximity to Bondi Junction train station and bus interchange. A well serviced and well-connected transport hub.

The change in the zone may result in a future redevelopment or the intensification of the current development, which may result in an increase in traffic arising from increased density. A Parking and Traffic Assessment was submitted with the planning proposal based on the concept scheme provided

which concludes that the projected increase in traffic activity as a consequence of the development proposal is minimal and won't result in any unacceptable traffic implications for the surrounding road network capacity.

Given the proposal seeks to adopt the same zoning and development standards as the properties which surround it, the conclusion of the report is generally agreeable. A review of the proposed concept scheme and Parking and Traffic Assessment was undertaken by Council's Traffic Engineer and did not identify any issues requiring resolution as part as part of the planning proposal stage.

3.12 What are the views of state and federal public authorities and government agencies consulted in order to inform the Gateway determination?

No state and federal public authorities are required to be consulted to inform the Gateway determination.


PART 4 – MAPPING

The following mapping changes are proposed under this Planning Proposal:

Heritage Map


Updating the Heritage Map to remove the redundant heritage listing.

It is noted the Proponent submitted planning proposal only proposed to remove the heritage listed western part of the site (the land owned by the proponent). Given that there is nothing of heritage significance left on the entirety of the site it is proposed to remove the heritage listing from the entire site itself. The current landowner of the eastern portion of the site (Telstra) will be notified of this proposed change as part of any future public exhibition and will be able to provide their feedback on the matter for consideration by the Local Plan Making Authority (LPMA).



Land Zone Map

It is proposed to update the Land Zone Map to rezone part of the site from SP2 – Infrastructure to R3 Medium Density Residential.



Lot Size Map

It is proposed to update the lot size map to introduce a minimum lot size to the western portion of the site, consistent with the surrounding lots.



PART 5 – COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

Public exhibition is likely to include at minimum a display on the Council’s website and notification of surrounding properties. The Gateway Determination will specify the level of public consultation that must be undertaken in relation to the planning proposal. Pursuant to Division 3.4 of the Act, a planning proposal must be placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days, or as specified in the Gateway Determination for the proposal. The Planning Proposal Authority must consider any submissions made concerning the proposed instrument and the report of any public hearing.

PART 6 – PROJECT TIMELINE

The following indicative project timeline will assist with tracking the progress of the Planning Proposal through its various stages of consultation and approval. It is estimated that this amendment to WLEP will be completed by late 2024.

The detail around the project timeline is expected to be prepared following the referral to DPHI for a Gateway Determination.

Table 12 – Indicative project timeline

Tasks	Timeframe and/or date
Consideration by Council	August 2024
Council decision	August 2024
Gateway Determination	October 2024
Pre-exhibition	October 2024
Commencement and completion of public exhibition period	November 2024
Consideration of submissions and post-exhibition review	December 2024 – January 2025

Tasks	Timeframe and/or date
Post-Gateway Council decision	February 2025
Submission to the Department for finalisation (where applicable)	February 2025
Gazettal of LEP amendment	April 2025 (subject to PCO timing).