



Uarbry Tongy Lane Alliance Inc.

email: [REDACTED]

7 May 2025

Independent Planning Commission

Re: Valley of the Winds

Dear Sir/Madam

We note the response by ACEN to the IPC's request for further information dated 17 April.

The Commission specifically requested:

*"Please provide a response in relation to the proposed development's potential impacts on aerial firefighting capabilities".*

ACEN's response launches into justifying their EIS information. The AFAC position paper is eventually quoted, stating wind project infrastructure has *"the potential to limit the effectiveness of aerial firefighting operations"*.

They then refer to the Waterloo case which was fully rebutted by Grant Piper in both his presentation to the IPC and his submission on the IPC portal.

ACEN then detour into their inadequate mitigation measures and the Recommended Conditions of Consent which do not magically make aerial firefighting possible.

Once again ACEN has failed to provide a credible response.

We request that ACEN acknowledge viewing Grant Piper's presentation and have read Grant Piper's IPC submission and previously presented documentation. We request that ACEN answer the problems highlighted in these directly.

Unless ACEN provide NEW evidence answering the question, rather than restate what they have said before, they have NOT answered the IPC's request.

Chair

Uarbry Tongy Lane Alliance Inc.