

[REDACTED]

From: Jeff Sullivan [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, 14 January 2023 1:05 PM
To: IPCN Submissions Mailbox
Subject: Creek Quarry Project SSD-6612

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi

I have perused the new documents and would like to make a few points...

1. The "contingency" referred to makes a mockery of any supposed promised rates of truck movements... the way I read it the rates can move by 100%... There should be a hard cap... If they take the profit they should take the loss. NO wiggle room. The climate is changing... there will be more rain...more floods...more road closures.... this cannot be an excuse (because it is a "known") for inflicting egregious impacts on the community.
2. Prior behaviour is a predictor of future behaviour. They have disregarded prior orders and will do so in the future.
3. It makes no mention of punishment for further breaches. Full Quarry closure would be an appropriate punishment. This is further to my objections already expressed. Having been tail gated and in fear of my life by one of these behemoths I believe the quarry should close period
4. It makes no mention or has a response to the fact that these drivers can work up to 72 hours per week. We do not want our citizens to be exposed to fatigued drivers ... note that the allowed hours are in excess of overseas standards. You may be assured that the outcry will be heard loud and clear in the corridors of power when and if fatalities occur... the first child... the first parent... the first grandparent...

Regards
Jeff Sullivan



Virus-free. www.avg.com