

[REDACTED]

From: Andrea Sage [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 31 January 2023 10:12 AM
To: IPCN Submissions Mailbox
Subject: Martins Creek Quarry expansion

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I object to the amended expansion proposal for the Martins Creek Quarry. Additional information for the IPC has been provided by Umwelt on behalf of the Daracon Group. I'll call these people the applicant and they admit the quarry has been in existence for over 100 years. For the majority of that time the quarry has been operating to supply gravel for rail line purposes with approval to supply a maximum of 30% of its annual supply limit for transport by road. This 30% road supply is 99,000 tonnes and I don't think there has been any development application approved for an increase in this 99,000 tonnes by road per year. I particularly note the applicant has failed to mention the historic operating approval or any approval in Umwelt's introduction to the additional information but simply says it is "an existing hard rock quarry". For the applicant to be seeking approval for an increase in road supplied product to 450,000 tonnes per year is absolutely, gob-smackingly stunning. I have no issue with an increase in rail transported produce and I have no issue with the approved 99,000 tonnes per year by road. I emphatically object to an increase in road transported products beyond the approved 99,000 tonnes.

Regards
Andrea Sage.