

From: [Adele Walsh](#)
To: [IPCN Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Re: Trinity Grammar School Redevelopment SSD 10371 - Additional Material
Date: Thursday, 2 September 2021 9:52:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

This submission attempts to claim that traffic around the school is fine now, and that it has declined over the last 5 years.

The 2020 traffic survey was done during the sweet spot after Year 12 had left school to study before the HSC, and before Y11 got their licences, so it was not indicative of the traffic issues that are currently impacting Summer Hill and Ashfield. It was at least 10% less than normal movements.

The traffic is not OK, it is dangerous, and adding another 25% or more students and teachers is not likely to make it any better, no matter how much Trinity would like to claim that.

Add into this the truck parking, idling and movements over the next few years, and it will be treacherous.

Trinity encouraging kids to ride bikes in between this traffic is a crazy suggestion, and unlikely to happen as kids live too far away and have too much luggage.

Buses are also at capacity waiting on Old Canterbury Rd in the afternoon, and queueing through Prospect Rd and travelling onto other congested streets, so suggesting more students will catch buses will not alleviate the problem.

There are many promises in this submission about what Trinity will do to alleviate problems.

Previous submissions have made similar promises, but they are all forgotten once the project is approved, and nothing can be done about them renegeing on these promises.

I have previously sent many photos and videos of regular traffic misdemeanors, and can supply more if needed.

Please do not allow for the increased numbers. It would be safer to enforce the court ordered limit of 1500 students which was imposed on the school at the last major development, but ignored by the school.

Please don't reward the school for this arrogance by allowing it to increase numbers more. How will they interpret a limit of 2100 students?

Many thanks,
Adele Walsh

