

Proposed Harbourside Redevelopment by Mirvac

Final comments to the IPC, submitted by Trevor and Lesley Dean, Permanent Residents
ONE DARLING HARBOUR, 1502/50 Murray Street Sydney NSW 2000

1. Darling Harbour was envisaged and developed from day one as an Entertainment Area for the people of Sydney.
2. It was neither envisaged nor developed for Commercial Office or Industrial Use.
3. Mirvac's claim that over 2,500 jobs may be lost if they don't get their way is totally irrelevant !
4. Mirvac claim that they are willing to walk away if they lose extra floors of Office Space. Who would invest in Office Space at a time when a high percentage of Office Workers prefer to work from home ?
5. The original concept for Darling Harbour was always to have low height buildings on the water side, higher rise behind. Darling Harbour was never meant to be a "Forest" of High Rise Buildings.
6. Overdeveloping the Darling Harbour/Cockle Bay Precinct would destroy the ability of the people of Sydney, together with Interstate and later International Visitors, to enjoy the many Attractions including a Wildlife Park, Aquarium, the **Number Two** Maritime Museum in the World and the many Restaurants offering World Class Menus, all bringing much needed funds to Sydney.
7. There is already more than enough Accommodation in the Darling Harbour Precinct, soon to be enlarged when the Ribbon Project, including the return of the very popular IMAX Theatre, is completed.

We have every confidence that the IPC will base it's final decision on the needs of the people of Sydney and Visitors, not the aspirations of a Commercial Enterprise !

Kind regards

Trevor and Lesley Dean