

From: [Catriona Mar](#)
To: [IPCN Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: RE: Object to Harbourside Redevelopment - ADDITIONAL Submissions Requested on NEW MIRVAC Response
Date: Wednesday, 19 May 2021 2:47:26 PM

Dear Sir/Madam,

My family and I am living in ODH. We have had many concerns about the Harbourside Redevelopment project Mirvac has not been considerate of the ODH residents, by continually building without regards to view sharing. Also the design of the Northern Podium has always been too high for waterfront right next to the Heritage Pyrmont Bridge.

one tier, the rooftop garden becomes a genuine public amenity that is accessible for all types of people. So many families bring young children, prams and elderly parents to the area and if you have different level parks that you need to go up so many levels of stairs to access will simply restrict people from going to the area and making use of it.

If it's meant to be a public amenity, then it should be designed optimally to accommodate members of the public and the three-tier design simply ignores the needs of the people. Not only is this blatantly unfair, but it is also against the principle of the Darling Harbour precinct being a place for the people, as it becomes instead a place for those who can get up to the park.

The Cockle Bay Wharf Redevelopment is in the same precinct as Harbourside with the same planning controls, landowner and consent authority. And the Cockle Bay development was approved at FSR 3.57 whereas Mirvac is requesting FSR 4.24, so it appears that the Harbourside Redevelopment could be built less intensively but would still be viable. The amount of commercial space that is "sacrificed" is minimal in the context of the whole project and suggesting the entire project viability would be at risk is somewhat hard to believe. Therefore, I implore that the Mirvac option be rejected as reducing the Northern podium by one level to a height of 21.35 does not alleviate any of the issues raised in the previous submission and that the IPCN option be adopted.

The IPCN option, while not perfect, is much more acceptable to me and my family.

Please do not publish my name on the IPCN website to the email.

Kind Regards,

Wai Chu Tam