

From: [Michelle Winter](#) on behalf of [REDACTED]
To: [IPCN Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Objection to Additional Material by MIRVAC - proposed Harbourside development SSD 7874
Date: Wednesday, 19 May 2021 6:00:55 PM

Dear Sir/madam

I OBJECT to the latest proposals by MIRVAC and fully support the IPC's proposals for the Harbourside development.

Please do not be blackmailed by Mirvac's threat to pull out.

If they bought the site on the basis that they could arm wrestle the planners into giving them a huge profit, that should be their mistake.

The original building although tired served the public and tourists very well, particularly as it's low rise outline fitted in very well to the surrounding area.

Visitors to the Maritime museum and activities carried out on Cockle Bay will not want to feel hemmed in by more office and residential space. They need room to breathe and enjoy the sunshine and attractions.

I support the lower height of the northern podium maintaining space around the historic Pymont Bridge - and I also agree with the IPC's set back of the proposed tower.

With all the huge building development going on at Barangaroo, the Pymont side of Darling Harbour should be all low rises as not to make Darling Harbour hemmed in from all sides by high development.

Please stand firm with the IPC's proposals to cut back on the huge imposition on the landscape by the MIRVAC proposal.

Kind regards

Tony Winter
Pymont