
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 19 May 2021 10:49 PM
To: IPCN Enquiries Mailbox
Subject: Response to Mirvac Development. For privacy, please redact and not publish my name and email.

For privacy, please redact and not publish my name and email

I live in ODH and have enjoyed the area for many years. I am so glad to see the IPCN is considering a Northern podium height that is much better for the area. I had concerns previously that the Pyrmont Bridge would be dominated by an oversized building that is twice the height of the Bridge, but the IPCN option will have a much better relationship with the Pyrmont Bridge letting it retain its significance as a heritage item.

Height should not increase to the north of the tower as being proposed in the Mirvac option. IPCN proposed limit is more appropriate reducing the box in feeling and reducing shadowing to the southwest end of the public space which is already highly impacted by the tower. This is an example of Mirvac corporate ignorance and not taking into consideration of concerns and impacts to ODH residents and the community that have already being raised. As an example why did Mirvac not proposed increase height to the section south of the tower where there is less overall impact.

Many families visit Darling Harbour and removing the three different tier heights is going to be so much more useful to all members of the public. Allow more light to the foreshore area. It will be big enough to accommodate the crowds that come during NYE, Australia day and school holidays fireworks. The larger flat open space will be more accessible, be shared and enjoyed by people of all ages and of various mobility.

I hope the IPCN will review this in light of getting the development right for the benefits of the people and not allow Mirvac solely focusing on corporate profits that could have a very long term negative impact to one of the iconic entertainment and tourist area for Sydney. Much of the foreshore public space will be lost even with the IPCN option but at least it facilitates to minimise the degree of negative impact to all impacted parties.

The Darling Harbour area is one of the busiest public area in Sydney that caters for many families local, interstate and foreign. This area should always be community, entertainment, commercial and tourism focus and not residential focus as what Mirvac direction is.

Darling Harbour is always on my list to bring any friends and visitors to as it is nice to spend time strolling enjoying the wide open public space, entertainment, food and generally a good day out in the open with plenty of sun which is not available much around the CBD.

Fully support the IPCN proposal as being the most acceptable at this point in time.

Thank you for this opportunity to have an input that will hopefully be taken into consideration.

[REDACTED]