

From: [Ming Tu](#)
To: [IPCN Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: harbourside development.
Date: Wednesday, 19 May 2021 8:36:45 PM

hi, WORANOT TONGON ON
THE ADDITIONAL MATERIAL FOR HARBOURSIDE DEVELOPMENT. I
WROTE TO EXPRESS MANY CONCERNS RELATING TO THE MASSIVE PODIUM
AT THE NORTHERN END OF THE PROPOSED
DEVELOPMENT. MIRVACCS "ALTERNATIVE" IS NOT A REAL ALTERNATIVE
HAVING ADDRESSED NONE OF MY CONCERNS. THE SCALE OF THE
NORTHERN PODIUM EVEN AT 21.35M, IT OVERPOWERS THE HERITAGE
BRIDGE AND BLOCKS THE WATER VIEWS OF MANY APARTMENTS AT ONE
DARLING HARBOUR FOR THE BENEFIT OF MIRVAC. THEY HAVE MADE NO
SERIOUS ATTEMPT TO ALLOW EVERYONE AT ONE DARLING HARBOUR TO
SHARE THE WATER VIEWS AND THEIR LATEST PROPOSAL ONLY GOES TO
FURTHER THEIR DISRESPECT AND UNWILLINGNESS TO DO THE RIGHT
THING AND PUSH THE NORTHERN PODIUM DOWN RIGHT DOWN TO
ALLOW DHDH RESIDENTS TO KEEP THEIR VIEWS. THEY ARE ALREADY
BUILDING A TOWER ON PUBLIC LAND, AND THE PROFITS FROM THAT WILL
BARELY BE AFFECTED BY HAVING A TWO LEVEL NORTHERN PODIUM.

I AM EXTREMELY GLAD THE
IPCN IS LOOKING AT AN OPTION WHERE THE NORTHERN PODIUM IS 11.8M IS
FLAT ONE LEVEL ALL THE WAY TO THE TOWER. WHILE THIS DOES
NOTHING FOR THE HEIGHT OF THE SOUTHERN PODIUM AND THE
INCREASED OVER SHADOWING ON THE FORSHORE, AT LEAST THE AREA IN
FRONT OF THE NORTHERN PODIUM WILL RETAIN, OR EVEN IMPROVE THE
SOLAR ACCESS AND SKY IN THE NORTHERN PART OF THE FORSHORE OF
THE DEVELOPMENT. I FEEL THE IPCN OPTION IS MUCH MORE IN LINE WITH
THE COCKLE BAY REDEVELOPMENT WHERE THE RL FROM THE PYRMONT
BRIDGE IS 12.0 FOR 65M AND THIS WILL ENSURE CONSISTENT
DEVELOPMENT IN THE COCKLE BAY BASIN.

THANK YOU,
MING TU