

---

**From:** Peter Horner [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 18 May 2021 5:21 PM  
**To:** IPCN Enquiries Mailbox  
**Subject:** Objection to Mirvac redevelopment at Darling Harbour

Dear Sir/Madam,

We, as owners of Unit 704 / 50 Murray St, Pyrmont, strongly object to the latest proposal from Mirvac for the redevelopment of Darling Harbour next to the Pyrmont bridge. The refusal of Mirvac to reduce the northern podium will impact us and our property greatly, due to loss of water and city views, reducing the overall value and rental potential of the apartment.

The currently planned height of the northern podium would also:

- overshadow the promenade on the water side in the afternoon
- reduce the impact of the Pyrmont bridge, which has great historical significance to the area
- increase the amount of people, cars etc that would flow into the area, congesting even more an area already heavily congested with traffic during peak hours
- create even more unnecessary building time
- create even more unnecessary noise pollution
- create even more dust and dirt requiring constant cleaning of the balconies, windows etc of our apartment

We do not accept Mirvac's assessment that the points mentioned in the "Mirvac Alternative Building Envelope Option Summary" from the IPC would have a "devastating" effect on the development. These points made by the IPC are absolutely reasonable and provide a good alternative and compromise. Mirvac is just being greedy, with no real regard to how the development will impact all the residents and property owners around them.

We appreciate your time in considering our objections to the redevelopment.

Kind regards,

Sabine and Peter Horner  
Unit 704 / 50 Murray St,  
Pyrmont 2009 NSW

--

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.  
<https://www.avast.com/antivirus>