

**From:** [Russell Waddington](#)  
**To:** [IPCN Enquiries Mailbox](#)  
**Subject:** Response to the Redevelopment of Harbourside Shopping Centre.  
**Date:** Tuesday, 18 May 2021 1:04:49 PM

---

Dear Madam

I am a long time resident of ODH building for 20 years.

The Book Ending the design treatment of the Pymont Bridge is the desirable outcome needed here.

This should not have been a surprise to Mirvac.

The GFA of 87,000 is just a wish of Mirvac. I can not find any documents that say this is a right of Mirvac set in stone.

I attended the first public meeting back 5 years and Mirvac spoke of the fact that “no Local or City resident” had any need to visit the Harbourside Shopping Centre, Mirvac knew that they had purchased an “Ugly Ducking”.

Briefing's

Section at Guardian Square Drawing NO 1 of the Developer Briefing (page 8) portrays a splendid concept for the Northern Podium.

Also fig 6 of the Response to IPC 3.1 gives a good landscape illustration of the RL 11.8 would fit the space.

These drawings show that the RL11.8 is of better context for the space.

Over the 5 year process of this project Mirvac have enjoyed many “serendipity benefits” such as the increased building height from 153mt to 170mt this far makes up for any lost floor area at the Northern Podium.

Ms Lloyd-Hurwitz rant that she would let the centre stay as is for the remainder of the lease is just that a rant.

The Design Excellence Competition proposed by Mirvac makes it imperative that the “Development Envelope for the Northern Podium” be set now at RL11.8 for the 3 selected “Star Architects” will sprinkle “Star Dust” over the project and we will end up where we stated for they are servant's of Mirvac and will follow the money.

GFA is not a right.

Book ending the design should not be surprise to Mirvac.

RL11.8 is in context for the space.

I ask that IPC stand firm on the RL11.8 for all of the residents of Sydney.

Regards

Russell Waddington.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10