

Joscelyn Chan

From: Julianne Richardson <julianne78@rocketmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 18 May 2021 6:57 PM
To: IPCN Enquiries Mailbox
Subject: Harbourside Redevelopment Objection on additional material

Dear IPCN,

My husband resides in 'One Darling Harbour', and we often visit him with our children.

I support the new option by the IPCN to have the Northern Podium reduced to 11.8m, on a one level tier all the way to the tower. We can see the latest Mirvac alternative has a ridiculous amount of stairs all over the place. It would be incredibly difficult for people like us to use prams to go up and down a mass of stairs and presents more of a trip hazard for the little children and also makes it harder for the elderly to be able to use the rooftop park and it seems unfair that use of that space is designed for able bodied adults. The new IPCN option is very much family friendly and it is disappointing that Mirvac has not done anything to address our concerns in their alternative to make a public domain more family friendly for both little children and the elderly. Also the height does not dominate the Heritage Pyrmont Bridge, which is a significant feature of Darling Harbour and must remain so by making sure the Northern Podium doesn't crowd it.

The IPCN option, unlike the Mirvac alternative, would ensure the foreshore walk in front of the harbourside is not overshadowed because of the 11.8m height of the northern podium

Also the Mirvac alternative would reduce the amenity of my fathers apartment as it obstructs valuable water views. That is unfair when there are clear alternatives for Mirvac to build a profitable (170m residential tower!) without taking away other pyrmont resident's views of the water.

Please ensure the IPCN option is adopted for the Harbourside Redevelopment which is on public land to be enjoyed by the public, without overdevelopment taking away the beauty of the area.

Regards,

Julianne Richardson

Sent from my iPhone