

IPC

I refer to your Request for comment on new material concerning the Harbourside Shopping Centre Redevelopment SSD-7874

I live at Unt 501 One Darling Harbour and enjoy the view of Cockle Bay and land water interface views.

IPC's interest in the northern podium to be reduced to RL11.8 has my full support. It would eliminate my objection to view loss while enhancing the impact on the public domain and providing a contiguous open space to allow everyone to enjoy all the events and entertainment that the precinct provides.

Mirvac's option does very little other than maintain the returns for Mirvac security holders. I found Mirvac's one line response of 'not viable' not consistent with the spirit that everyone has put in to provide significant information to achieve a balanced outcome for all of us and not just for shareholders.

Mirvac's option will still take away from me my views **for its own enjoyment**. I have views from several parts of my apartment not just at the end of the balcony viewing the waters of Cockle Bay. When it comes to **my highly valued water views**, it will not be '**view sharing**' but total loss of views and I will look into what looks like a massive brick wall.

I cannot see any response that would provide for a contiguous open space. In fact, this design has less amenity than before. The avenue of a mass of steps indicates that if you had a pram you are not welcome, not to mention many other challenged people in our community who would love to enjoy the amenities that Darling Harbour offer.

My objection falls away for IPC proposal but has not changed for the new option of Mirvac.
Norman Lockett