

11/6/2019

Good Morning Gentleman,

My Name is Sue Lane I am representing my father Ed Hundy. We are a family who have lived here for 90 years. We are also a family that said NO to CWP renewables Money 2012, we did not want to be involved in this project. We did not want to live next to it.

I also run a Facebook page called NO Wind Farms Near Homes. I noted that Mr Mousney stated that they have no negative comments on their Facebook page... please... You do know as manager of Facebook page you can delete and block people who make negative comments. So the fact that there are no negative comments means nothing. Please note sir that the two recent photos on there are not from the cleared end

WE reject this modification and this project in its entirety.

We have been told to keep the emotion out of this, well sir I love this land, and I love my home, CWP renewables and DP underestimated the passion that we have for our home and love we have for this land; They underestimated the people they were putting this on top of.

I am very disappointed to see that there are only two of you here today. It gives the impression sir that you are not going to give our concerns the just and lawful consideration that they are due.

Sir we KNOW what we have.

Unlike last time where we believed in the process, we believed that what we brought to the table would be investigated fully but it turned out that previous IPC couldn't be bothered addressing any issues we had.

So this time sir to ensure your independence and your due diligence I am making you aware that I have consulted with a barrister, Bruce Bourke, who is the barrister who was involved in the Jeff Parnell case and he has significant insight into how the DP operates with this company CWP renewables. Mr Bourke will oversee this assessment process for us.

So Gentlemen I suggest to you, that you are exceptionally independent of the Department of Planning and Mr Mike Young and... this company is not transparent...you don't want your good names sullied in this process.

We are out number sir and we are most certainly underdogs here. We have done nothing wrong other than protect our home, our health and our way of life and we have held CWP renewables to consent conditions.

Firstly I will address the modification of the drop in turbine numbers.

This is just a PR stunt for the local press,

CWP was given 77 Turbines by the State Government in 2016.
BUT Final Consent was given by the Federal Government in 2017 and CWP Renewables only got 37 Turbines

In fact it wasn't Federal government that dropped it to 37, it was CWP Renewables, who dropped the number, because they got fright when I got Josh Frydenberg out to our property, they thought that they were going to end up with nothing. So, to all the host landowners, who didn't get money for turbines, CWP renewables threw you under the bus!

I have that information from documents we obtained the freedom of information act.

I would also like the host landowners to know that whilst fighting to get these Turbines off their homes, these people didn't throw you the bus, they asked for the area to be protected because of all the threatened, endangered and critically endangered species out there and they asked for money for you. They desperately didn't want to live near this, but unlike CWP they didn't throw you under the bus. Your treatment of them in the Department of Planning meeting was appalling.

This Modification for a drop in turbine number could have done in an office in Sydney.

Sir, CWP Renewables is a company that lies, they are NOT transparent and I will outline to you today their unhandled attempts to get this project across the line and make no mistake the DPE is right there with them.

CWP representative Mr McAvoy stated in the Australian Newspaper in August Last year, when we had a story but the trees being cleared on Aarons Pass Road.

He stated that it wasn't a koala habitat. Their own ecologist found 18 pieces of evidence of Koala active in the small area that they surveyed. So it is a Koala habitat and it is documented as such on the national parks website.

Mr McAvoy has trouble telling the truth to the press and I have examples of that

Sally's Flat Road

In the CCC meeting CWP Renewables Mark Branson also lied. They told us they wouldn't be using Sally's Flat Road,

He stated when asked directly whether they would be using Sally's Flat Road. He said no. Well he Lied sir, because it was their main through fare. Truck after truck, vehicle after vehicle.

Let me assure sir, the dust was horrific. Our shearing shed is 100ms back from the Sally's Flat dirt road.

We rang CWP renewables complaints line, informed them of the use of the road, multiple vehicles using it, and that they were traveling at ridiculous speeds. I was working in the shearing shed at the time, the dust billowed in the doors, it was continuous, we were breathing it in, it was in our eyes, the grit in our teeth, and you could taste the dirt. Not to mention it settling on our rooves to be washed into our drinking water.

There was a Host Landowner stood up in the Department planning meeting on the 12 December last year and stated "that there was roads works done on Crudine Road and we didn't complain about the dust".

CWP Renewables are bullies, we make a compliant and then they tell host landowners, then that host land owner tries to intimidate us in the DP meeting.

Yes, we complained about the dust, all over our wool. Dads an asthmatic, he couldn't breathe, he went up to house to use his nebulizer, I went to check him and there was my 78 year old father dragging sheets and towels out of the linen cupboard, to cover his wool, his income, his livelihood, CWP Renewables didn't give a damn about him and his income, they did nothing and continued to use Sally's Flat Road up until they were given a non-compliance for the commencement of major works and work stopped.

Yes, we complained about the dust and the man that stood up seems to have conveniently forgotten, that he doesn't live on Crudine road, he lives on a tared sealed road away from the construction site.

CWP renewables sent out a letter to community telling them to voice loudly their wish for the so called up grade of the road.

They whip this community up and sent them in to intimidate us; the pack mentality that was in this hall on the 12/12/2018 was a disgrace. Witnessed by ABC Rural and Mudgee Guardian. It is unforgivable that CWP renewables tried to intimidate us through their host land owners, I wrote to the department planning Marcus Ray regarding their behaviour, and a request for all host landowners to be removed from the CCC meetings due to their behaviour. That was granted.

Sir one of the involved landowners, bellowed out brings down a D9, for your information sir that is a massive bulldozer, the only people standing up the environment toady, is us, and the general public sir will not accept this from a green energy. It stinks of hypocrisy.

Renewable Energy Act 2000 states – “the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity should be a fundamental consideration in decision making”.

CWP Renewables got 37 turbines and but they got them on a lie and I do wonder sir if they took what they really needed to do on that road and that is to knock everything down. To completely obliterate the fragile ecological system that is out there, would they have got their approval in the first place.

I could not believe my ears when Mike Young of the DP stated that CWP had made a mistake. That statement alone clearly shows that the DP is not impartial and they are most certainly holding the hand of CWP Renewables.

This was no mistake!!!

You don't have a butcher or a baker moving and industrial Turbine blade. You Have and industrial Turbine Company moving an industrial turbine blade. THIS WAS NO MISTAKE. They had every intention of knocking every tree down.

They were meant to take 6 trees within that 3 kms, they took 297, google earth doesn't lie and if CWP renewables doesn't like that number well they are more than welcome to clarify that with me.

Downer Report was the Report Supplied by CWP renewables, why can't they read it.

When we rang the compliance office, Chris Shultz arrived when he got out of the car; in his hand was the Downer Report.

It is a pretty specific report sir. It's got pictures and arrows.

It is the consent conditions. I can read it and I don't do it for a living.

Are we really to believe that CWP renewables had no idea!!! Should they really be building wind farms if they are that incompetent.

Every tree was marked with a pink bot... thousands of trees marked, thousands to come down.

Now sir, after the compliance officer left, other marks suddenly appeared on the trees, P's and O's different symbols, for want of another term how dodgy is this company. Their way of trying to cover up

Their Downer Report was very specific, they shouldn't have had to mark any trees, and the problem was sir that they couldn't use the Downer Report. They were just going to knock down, turn around and pay the fine.

Tell me sir, where the hell is their modification for the increase in blade length

How can the company that does the suspect clearing get to tell the Department that gives out the fines how much land they cleared? ... Seriously, No farmer could do that.

We demand that this area that has already been cleared to be assessed independently.

People receiving money will be all about upgrading the road and making them safe , well sir,

I have no problems with this road being improved for local use should have been done years ago, but it's a whole different thing to getting the 75 metre truck down that road with a blade on it. Trust me sir when I say, they will have to obliterate that road. Does this not go against the whole ethos of a green energy?

This road won't be tarred, it will still be a dirt road and sir all these people who say they want an upgrade, they were all happy with the Downer Reports 56 trees and 3 corners. No one wrote in and said that more needed to be taken off than that, and now they are saying they need every tree gone.

Let's be honest sir, they should all stand up and say Knock down those trees I want my money.

You signed these contracts, you brought these trucks to our doorsteps, and if you need every tree down on that road to be safe, then I suggest you pack your bags and move to Oran Park where there is not a tree to be seen.

CWP renewables has cause a loss of income for the construction workers. .
The consent clearly states no major works until access road done.

Clearly they were not meant to be contracted; essentially they should not have had a job to lose.

CWP Renewables should be compensating these construction workers.

This company will come to you and say “we have put so Much money into this”

Well that’s on them, again I can read the consent conditions. They have all these people working for them, they are a massive company, they deal with consents all the time, yet they can’t read consent for this project, are they seriously asking you to believe that, or is Mr Mounsey blaming someone else.

I put it to you sir that they knew they couldn’t get down that road, so they started major works, to put pressure on YOU to give them the modification if they got caught.

You can’t give them this modification sir, because your essentially saying to every developer in NSW come lie to the IPC, come and lie to the federal Government and get your foot in the door and then if you get caught and make NO MISTAKE sir, they got caught, bring back a modification and we will get you across the line.

You must hold this Company to account.

Sir the people who have held this company to the Consent are the people who will live next to it, we do not trust this company. There was a submission to the DP that you would have read Sir about us holding this company to the Rules; it stated that we had ostracized ourselves from the community.

You isolated them the moment you signed your contracts and the community that you offer, intimidation, bully hypocrisy and greed, well my Family wants no part of that.

This modification is wrong, and I can tell you Sir wrong is still wrong even if you have a 100 people buying for it and RIGHT is still right if you have only 1.

You gave CWP renewables a private meeting; I request one for us as well.

Thank you for your time

Sue Lane

