

MINUTES

DETERMINATION OF EASTERN CREEK ENERGY FROM WASTE FACILITY

IPCN BRIEFING FROM APPLICANT

GENESIS RECYCLING AND LANDFILL FACILITY, HONEYCOMB DRIVE, EASTERN CREEK

2 MAY 2018 AT 1.00PM

ATTENDANCE

The Commission

Commission Members: Robyn Kruk AM (Chair), Peter Duncan AM, Tony Pearson

Commission Secretariat: Matthew Todd-Jones (Senior Planning Officer), David Koppers (Team Leader)

Applicant

- Clare Brown – Urbis
- Stewart Doran – Urbis
- Amanda Lee – AECOM
- Damon Roddis – ERM
- Mike Ritchie – MRA
- Charlotte Wang – MRA
- Ian Malouf – Owner, Dial A Dump Industries
- Chris Biggs – CEO, Dial A Dump Industries
- Rodney Johnson – Site Responsibility, Dial A Dump Industries
- Anthea Gilmore – Solicitor, Dial A Dump Industries
- Katie McCallum – Solicitor, Dial A Dump Industries

Community Representative observer (for site visit only)

- Mr Rafael Perez

Prior to the applicant's briefing, the Commission undertook a site visit with the applicant and a community representative of the proposed development site and existing Genesis facility. All members of the Commission Panel were afforded the opportunity to ask questions of the applicant in relation to their understanding of the physical attributes of the site.

Following the site visit, the applicant provided a presentation to the Commission (which can be viewed on the Commission's website at this link: <http://ipcn.nsw.gov.au/projects/2018/04/eastern-creek-energy-from-waste-facility-ssd-6236>) and in addition the following matters were discussed:

- The applicant stated that they are only seeking approval for Stage 1 of the project and noted that approval for Stage 2 of the project would require a future development application.

- All the applicant's modelling has been undertaken for the Stage 1 development, including their 'reference facility' assessment.
- The applicant noted that flue gas residues from the facility will be disposed of at their existing landfill.
- The applicant noted that the technology to be used for this project is currently used in 35 countries around the world. The technology used for the project is from Europe and the applicant will provide training to local people to use it.
- The applicant noted that around 50% of the plant is dedicated to air pollution controls.
- The applicant's nominated reference facility, Ferrybridge in the UK, has the same pollution controls as the proposed project.
- The applicant stated that there will be no effluent discharge from the site and no visual plume from the stack, but this can sometimes occur due to climatic conditions.
- The applicant will provide the Commission with further information regarding the treatment of floc waste.
- There are no facilities of this type which specify the exact waste streams coming in. The technology of a facility has to deal with the variability of the waste.
- The applicant knows the type of emissions that come out of these facilities as the data has existed for 20 years.
- The applicant stated that the waste that goes into a facility doesn't impact what comes out.
- The applicant stated that the stack's emissions will be monitored 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.
- The applicant does not agree with the Department of Planning and Environment's recommendation that the project should be refused. The applicant will provide the Commission with further comments outlining their position on this matter.
- The applicant disputed that significant community concern is not valid reason to recommend refusal of this development applicant. The applicant will provide the Commission with further comments explaining their position on this matter.
- The applicant believes that ARUP, who were commissioned to review the project by the Department, has misunderstood some of the data presented. The applicant will provide the Commission with further comments explaining their position on this matter.

MEETING CLOSED AT 3.30PM