

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:42:37 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Rachael Kruger

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:42

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:42:04 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Viv Weston

[Redacted signature]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:41

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:35:04 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Ann Stewart

Ann Stewart

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Ann Stewart.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:34

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:31:41 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Michael Ball

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:31

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:29:59 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Kathryn Martin

Kathryn Martin

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:29

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:27:36 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Please see submission below. It is time we stopped mining coal and finding jobs in renewable or sustainable industries.

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation - Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Vicki Ross

Vicki Ross

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:27

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:27:06 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region.

The mine would ruin a sensitive and unique environment. This area has previously been recognized as important. It has also been recognised that the mine expansion would be extremely detrimental to a beautiful part of our geographic heritage. Coal is not the way forward for Australia nor for this area.

Please confirm earlier rulings against this proposal.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Wendy Geay

Wendy Gray
[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:26

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:21:14 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Shani Roberts.

shani roberts

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of the sender.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:21

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:16:43 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Dora Evans

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:16

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:14:56 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
T.W. Cambourn.

Thomas Cambourn

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:14

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:14:41 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Julie Thomas

Julie Thomas



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:14

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:14:40 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Julie Thomas

Julie Thomas



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:14

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:13:56 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Casey Forrest

Casey Forrest

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:13

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:13:27 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Laura Coletta

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:13

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:05:07 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Mr. Earth Waratah

Earth Waratah

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:04

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:04:40 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Helen Tracey

Helen Tracey



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:04

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:01:20 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Belinda Stevens

Belinda Stevens

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Belinda Stevens.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:01

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:00:26 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Susan Bowman

Susan Bowman

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:00

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:58:36 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission.

As the evidence for climate change and human contributions to that seems fairly irrefutable, it is time to stop destroying areas of ecological significance. Please protect this important wilderness.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Ruth Nelson

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:58

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:56:59 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Susan Bowman

Susan Bowman

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:56

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:56:57 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Susan Bowman

Susan Bowman

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:56

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:56:34 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I strongly object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation. This should be should be respected.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Anna Ryland

Anna Ryland



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:56

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:48:00 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The mining of lands also affect our wayerways. Two centuries of mining, it is time seek renewable energies urgently. The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Dee TAMINIAU

Dee Taminiua

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Dee Taminiua.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:47

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:46:58 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Stella Norberti

-


Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:46

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:44:34 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Emma O'Brien

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:44

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:44:26 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Iat 78 I am wearied with the constant threats to the environment which we have to object to. I wish you well in your deliberations. The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Elisabeth Hilton

Elisabeth Hilton

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:44

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:38:51 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Amelia Kerr

Amelia Kerr

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:38

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:32:31 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Christine Wade

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:32

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:24:08 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Ana Martin

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:24

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:22:26 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Thank you for preserving this stone garden

Yours sincerely,

Jenny Buchan

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Jenny Buchan.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:21

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:21:20 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Moira Kelly

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of the sender.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:21

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:18:00 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Robert Arnold

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Robert Arnold.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:17

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:17:39 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Christine Daniels

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:17

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:14:08 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Please take seriously this well-researched and considered argument opposing the Manildra open-cut mining proposal. As a geographer by training and a former bushwalker, I find myself astonished that a mining company would think this to be a reasonable project, nor is it likely that the public coffers will receive much benefit from it.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

(Mrs) Sonya Gillies

Sonya Gillies

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:13

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:02:28 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

I fully support the Colong Foundation's submission and believe any mining in this area is outrageous and totally inappropriate. We want the Government to focus on renewable energy options and end it's destructive and willful support for expanding the coal industry - which is destroying our planet! The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Michele Grant

[REDACTED]

Michele Grant

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:02

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:01:31 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Stop selling off and destroying our land and future for mining The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

K Coo

Kerry Coomes

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:01

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 8:01:25 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

R.J. Smith

Robert Smith

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 20:01

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:56:20 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Leonie Hunter

Leonie Hunter



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:56

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:52:32 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may not publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Catherine Poli

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:52

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:46:38 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Jukka Lehtonen

Jukka Lehtonen

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:46

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:40:22 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Tony Cole

Tony Cole



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:40

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:36:22 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Linda Sadler

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:36

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:35:03 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Mandy Goodwin

Mandy Goodwin

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:34

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:31:01 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

David Streher

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:30

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:30:47 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Greg Windred

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:30

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:29:48 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Lolly Rock

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:29

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:27:56 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Rod Horan

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:27

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:23:10 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Lorraine Sumners

Lorraine Sumners

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Lorraine Sumners.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:23

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:22:00 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Greg Hordacre

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:21

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:12:54 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Fran Walder

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:12

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:11:59 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The proposal to mine close to these natural wonders is absolutely criminal. We are charged with passing this earth onto following generations. How can we destroy these natural wonders that have taken eons to be formed. All for short term gain of private companies. Please have some ticker and some soul. Leave beautiful things for future. Please.
The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Deborah Stevens

Deborah Stevens

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:11

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:11:58 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The proposal to mine close to these natural wonders is absolutely criminal. We are charged with passing this earth onto following generations. How can we destroy these natural wonders that have taken eons to be formed. All for short term gain of private companies. Please have some ticker and some soul. Leave beautiful things for future. Please.
The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Deborah Stevens

Deborah Stevens

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:11

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:07:08 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Tania Searle

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:06

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 7:04:56 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal

This is appalling vandalism of our unique heritage.

Yours sincerely,

Barbara Marshall

Barbara Marshall

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 19:04

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:59:18 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Grant Oyston

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:59

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:57:07 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Many thanks

Steve Starr

Steve Starr

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:56

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:56:17 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Keeryann Fishpool

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:56

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:55:59 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Anita Falkiner

Anita Falkiner

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:55

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:55:55 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Margaret Robertson

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:55

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:49:31 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Leigh Straker

Leigh Straker

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:49

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:41:28 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,c

Pascale Maitrepierre

Pascale Maitrepierre

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:41

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:37:18 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Lyn Schlunke

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:37

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:35:53 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Tanya McArthur

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:35

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:33:21 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Margot Stephens

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Margot Stephens.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:33

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:27:32 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Edi Biasutti

Edi Biasutti

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:27

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:26:52 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

This is a unique area which is far more valuable left as a wilderness than a COAL mine . We are now entering an era where coal is becoming LESS valuable as a power source and the environment MORE valuable.

Off set elsewhere CANNOT compensate for the losses that result from open-cut mining, nor can the bio-diversity be reclaimed by the eventual replanting. It takes Thousands (1000's) of years to create a landscape like this

The PAC MUST uphold its previous decisions to REFUSE consent for the sake of this nation. All State forests should be excised from areas considered for mining as they are carbon sink areas and needed more and more as carbon pollution rises because of COAL MINING.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Janice Russell

jan russell

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:26

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:24:56 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Erica Lizzo

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:24

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:18:24 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

James Mark White

James White

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of James White.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:18

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:18:21 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Wayne Jones

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:18

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:17:41 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Jennifer Kubatka

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:17

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:17:26 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

This is a very special area of Australia. I can't imagine why you would even consider cutting it up. A much better plan would be to get going on renewables! Digging up our planet is not necessary anymore - why can't we try something new?.

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland

is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Danina Anderson

Danina Anderson


Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:17

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:14:18 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department. This is an area of conservation significance and the proposal should be rejected because of this.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed

2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Robyn Moore

Robyn Moore

[Redacted signature]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:14

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:13:36 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal, I want my grandchildren and their grandchildren to be able to wonder at nature by visiting, not looking at old videos of places that will no longer be there.

Yours sincerely,
Colette Connors

Colette Connors



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:13

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 6:01:42 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

It is absolutely unbelievable that anyone would want to mine such a landscape! No to mining our beautiful wilderness. Coal mining has had its day. It is a practice that contributes nothing but destruction.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Marionne van Katwijk

Marionne van Katwijk

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 18:01

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:59:53 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Sue-Ellen Campbell

Sue-Ellen Campbell

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Sue-Ellen Campbell.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:59

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:58:51 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Myfanwy Peebles

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:58

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:56:12 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Kate Gorman

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:56

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:55:18 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Carol McKinley

Carol McKinley



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:55

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:51:11 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Liz Walden

Liz Walden

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:51

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:50:50 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Judith James

Judith James



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:50

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:50:07 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Paula Pinto de Barros

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Paula Pinto de Barros.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:49

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:49:03 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Why destroy such a beautiful natural landscape? Once it's gone, it's gone. You have a responsibility to protect such community assets for future generations. Please consider the impact your actions will have on others and the environment. The Gardens of Stone National Park is a stunning reserve - surely it would make more long-term economic sense to promote tourism in this area rather than mining.

Luke Gregory

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:48

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:48:15 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Beth Lane

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:48

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:47:26 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Alexandra

Alexandra Binyon

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of the sender.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:47

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:42:39 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Ben Webser

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:42

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:41:55 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Dorit Herrmann

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Dorit Herrmann.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:41

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:41:45 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Annie Hall

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:41

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:41:41 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Katherine Hompes

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:41

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:41:03 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Ben Webser

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:40

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:39:52 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Mara Roberts

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:39

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:39:30 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. I strongly believe that open cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be highly inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Geraldine Milton (M.Bioethics.Monash)

Geraldine Milton



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:39

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:38:10 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

M J

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the sender.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:38

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:34:31 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Anne Drummond

Anne Drummond

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:34

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:32:11 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Nicole McCann

Nicole McCann

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:32

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:29:08 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Jane Brookman

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:28

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:28:56 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Philippa Rose

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:28

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:26:05 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Joanna Immig

Joanna Immig

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Joanna Immig.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:25

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:22:46 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Having experienced this beautiful area visiting my father's property for the last 20 years, I know the tourism and agricultural potential will exist forever. Therefore I totally oppose this destructive coal mine which will take away this potential for short term gain.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Ciska Burrie

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:22

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:20:16 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Shona

Shona Buchanan

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Shona Buchanan.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:20

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:19:33 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Cheryl Gilbert

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:19

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:17:01 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

This is an insane plan.

Yours sincerely,
Barbara Elkins

Barbara Elkins



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:16

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:12:37 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Brett Nutting

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:05:54 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Terry Ford

Terry Ford



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:05

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:05:29 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I am writing to express my horror at the proposed Manildra open-cut mine and object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine in the strongest possible terms. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed

2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

If this planet is to survive for my grandchildren and any future generations, it is essential that proposals such as this are "knocked on the head". The threat to climate change is also enormous.

I have recently had the opportunity of visiting that area and it would be a scandal to threaten the natural sculptures of stone across the region. It would be unconscionable to deny future generations such magnificence because of lack of foresight and vision.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Caroline Goosen

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:05

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:05:22 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Lorraine Findlay

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:04

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 5:02:47 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

David Tobin

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 17:02

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:58:17 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Nella Clarke

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:58

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:57:55 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

I see no reason why, in an age when coal is becoming a lesser source of energy supply, an area of such beauty and natural significance, should be affected in an irreversible manner. The short term gain from coal mining that this may bring is just another example of the thinking that is shaping our physical landscape today.

Yours sincerely,
Ray Simpson

Ray Simpson

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:57

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:57:30 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Robyn Tinker

Robyn Tinker

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Robyn Tinker.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:57

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:56:59 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Scott

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:56

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:52:55 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Alana Hunter

[Redacted signature]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:52

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:51:50 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

I believe there should be no further extension to coal mining in this area.

It is a fragile wilderness which should remain protected. It is unwise in this age of climate change to destroy such areas for short term profit and jobs. There are viable alternatives.

Please do not mine in this area.

Yours sincerely,
Glenys Ramage.

Glenys Ramage

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:51

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:51:30 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. For many obvious reasons we should be curtailing coal mining operations and pursuing other energy options. Certainly, open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

It is really a waste of time and public money to commission reports that will then be willfully ignored. We vote for you to do the best, forward thinking decision making for the overall good of the state. This is clearly against the public interest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland

is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Katinka Smit

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:51

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:51:14 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Pretyman

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:51

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:48:29 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Kathy Stavrou

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:48

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:47:14 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Chris Fussell

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Chris Fussell.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:47

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:44:04 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Graeme Smith

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:43

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:38:41 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Simon Rannard

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:38

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:36:05 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.
Mary Bridget Hurley

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Mary Bridget Hurley

Mary Bridget Hurley



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:35

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:35:16 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

We do not need our precious places be ripped apart for short term gains. Our children and their children should be able to see how nature creates beautiful places - not how men destroy.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Monika Szigeti

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:34

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:35:11 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I strongly object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

John Watson

John Watson

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:35

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:33:12 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Nicola Swift

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:33

From: [REDACTED] [D](#)
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:28:35 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Kath Heading

Kath Heading



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:28

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:27:21 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Michael Field

Michael Field



Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:27

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:26:30 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

marcella pyke

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:26

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:26:22 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Kathleen Hackett

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:26

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:25:47 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Kathleen Hackett

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:25

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 4:21:28 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Carol Van Der Peet

[REDACTED]

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 16:21

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Saturday, 2 December 2017 9:42:52 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Renfrey

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Saturday, December 2, 2017 - 21:42