I wish to clearly and strongly object to the Wallarah 2 proposal. Others have addressed the technical issues involving negative environmental effects and the danger of major damage to the water catchment supply in an area of high residential growth and even higher predicted future growth. I agree it makes no sense approving such a potentially disastrous mining activity for such little return in such a fragile location. I personally do NOT want a huge coal loader situated within 1.5 kilometres of my daughters public school where she, along with hundreds of other young potential future leaders, will be exposed to coal dust, diesel fumes along with other uncontained proven carcinogens produced from the mining process. The health of our young should be a top priority and hundreds of them should not be subject to being a casualty of a decision they had no ability to influence (Look how images of victim children directed President Trumps mind recently).

Again I personally don't want the noise, dust and other contaminants that are freely admitted to in the proposal as being by-products of the mining process and only mitigated to certain levels that I DO NOT FIND ACCEPTABLE reducing and negatively affecting my standard of living. Especially with absolutely no compensation.

I object, we the community object, the youth object and the PAC should see that this proposal does not have benefits that outweigh the direct costs admitted to in the proposal itself.

Regards

Garry Malone