



128 Nowra Road
Moss Vale NSW 2577

A.B.N. 46 204 960 671

p. +61 2 4869 4792

e. charvey@mountashby.com.au

w. www.mountashby.com.au

CHRIS AND SALLY HARVEY

MOUNT ASHBY ESTATE

128 NOWRA ROAD

MOSS VALE

NSW 2577

8TH JULY 2021

Independent Planning Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth St
Sydney 2000
SSD 7172 and SSD 7171 – Second referral

Dear Commissioners,

We are one of the many business owners who will be impacted if the Hume Coal Project is permitted to go ahead.

We currently own and operate a Vineyard ,Cellar Door,Restaurant and Function Centre on a rural location in close proximity to Moss Vale.

We currently have 8 employees and plan to increase this to over 25 employees with the completion of a New Function Centre capable of accommodating 170 guests.

Tourism of which we are a part is a major employer of people in the Southern Highlands and in particular younger people.

We as a rural property are totally dependent on our bores to maintain our dams for both cattle and viticulture.

Having been a resident of the Southern Highlands for 22 years I wish to express my absolute opposition to Hume Coal's applications (SSD 7172 and SSD 7171). The proposed mine represents a real threat to residents and the environment of the Southern Highlands as well as the Sydney Water Catchment. I endorse the DPIE conclusions.

- The estimated 118 bores that have been calculated by Hume to be impacted over life of the mine are sufficient for the refusal of the project alone, even if the DPIE believe that considerable negative uncertainty surrounds this number.
- The proposed make good arrangements for depleted bores were declared unacceptable and unworkable in the DPIE Preliminary assessment. Hume has tried to make the argument that other mining projects had similar groundwater impacts , but this claim is not based in fact, Tahmoor cannot be seen as a parallel to Hume as differences in geology and the depth of mine. Hume's plans also involve the financial compensation to landowners in the event that make good becomes unworkable, amounting to the confiscation of landowner water entitlements. This is totally unacceptable.
- Water NSW are not convinced that all of the water produced in the mine can be stored underground in a timely manner. The mine water dam may over-flow and untreated water may discharge into catchment waterways, Water NSW consider the lack of a contingency plan for water treatment facilities to be an unacceptable risk.
- Hume has stated they have no interest in changing their mine plan. The DPIE advisors on mine design and subsidence have taken a strong position on the risks associated with the current mine plan. The DPIE advisors particularly point to impact on groundwater assumptions if there is any mine collapse.
- The Resources Regulator has reinforced its concerns on the viability of the mine plan, particularly the impact of the mine on critical infrastructure, the Hume Motorway, the Sydney Moomba gas pipeline and major communication cables. The agency considers that the current plans to avoid catastrophic damage by the mine are inadequate due to uncertainty surrounding Hume's subsidence predictions and the shallow depth of the mine.
- Economics of the project: The DPIE and Hume have agreed on a figure for the expected financial benefits from the project, higher than in the original assessment from the department, but lower than might have been expected from a similar project. DPIE note that the benefits of the project may be overstated if uncertainties are taken into account, and in any event are offset by the negative impacts of the project on the community.
- The lack of social license for this project is absolutely clear. Two successful petitions (15000 signatures 2017, 13000 signatures 2018) to the NSW Parliament that forced debate on the moratorium of coal mining in the Southern Highlands and a potential Upper House enquiry . Over 12000 opposing submissions to the DPIE in response to The Hume EIS , with approx. 5000 from the local LGA. 4000 submissions to the first IPC hearing with an over whelming percentage opposing the project . Independent research conducted by Galaxy in the WSC LGA showed over 80% were against the proposals. Community opposition to this project will not go away and the community remains very strongly opposed.

Finally, Hume's standard response to the management of the identified uncertainties is to say that the project should be approved and operations 'adapted' to manage any issues as they occur which is inconsistent with the precautionary principle.

Whilst there are many additional reasons why this project is not in the Public interest and should not be approved, the above points, I believe provide enough concern to the IPC the rule this project out entirely.

Signed _Chris Harvey

Sally Harvey

MOUNT ASHBY ESTATE

