My family and I live within a couple of km's of the planned development site. I have met with the company and stated my concerns with the size of the project and the impact it will have on neighbouring landholders. I do not believe the company has done enough to limit the impacts.

The neighbouring landowners have been personally attacked both in writing and verbally by the proposed development site landowners. I heard both parties speak last week to the panel and I was horrified that the development site landowners were allowed to defame concerned and impacted neighbours whilst also having a hit at community members that do not support what is planned or their personal views.

If FRV had been open and honest with them from the start, maybe this would not have gotten so messy and caused so much division within the community. The surrounding landowners have tried to work with FRV to limit the impacts on their families and business. I know the one neighbour has asked for the substation to be moved so it would not be in the direct line of site from their family home. I don’t see why this still could not be done, this would help combat a major issue for them.

One thing that did not sit well with me was the lady that spoke about mental health. If she was honestly a mental health nurse as she stated, how could she comment that it would improve mental health in the area! This is complete RUBBISH. This development has caused huge strain on a wide range of people, not just the neighbouring land holders and caused a division in the community that potentially it will never recover from. Everyone deals with mental health differently and how can she comment without knowing all the facts. All her comments should be removed from the decision process as they are incorrect.

If this development was to be approved by the IPC, more needs to be done to limit the impacts on the neighbouring landholders. They have never asked for this development, but they will suffer the impacts of it for at least the next 30 years.