From: Anita Heubel

To: IPCN Submissions Mailbox
Subject: SSD-21208499 Elgin / Glanmire
Date: Friday, 15 December 2023 5:11:45 PM

I have written before but have now read further submissions from Elgin. I have these points as concerns:

- 1. The project is still being called a "Farm". It is not a Farm of any description. To liken this project as a Farm is to claim that a hydro-electric scheme is the same as a Beaver Dam! Or an underground mine is the same as a simple worm tunnel. Or an open-cut mine is the same as a backyard pool. Or the harbour tunnel is the same as the glow worm tunnel....... The Elgin Solar Industrial Complex is an Industrial site! And this cannot be stressed enough as I did read that Elgin is actually trying to negotiate for adequate public liability insurance for and with neighbouring farms. But, they are searching insurance for a "farm" project, and not an Industrial Site insurance cover. Any insurance company which agrees to cover Elgin with the wording Farm in the policy, can easily and RIGHTFULLY reject any future claims as the site is not a Farm! It is an Industrial Complex, an Industrial Site, an Industrial Project.....! Claiming that the site and project is a Farm is a definite and serious false classification.
- 2. I have viewed the proposed layout of the panels upon the site. Sheep? They suggest to graze sheep under the panels? The panels are to be built so close together that it will be a nightmare to herd sheep out of there. And the farmer is to be liable for any damage to the panels by the sheep?
- 3. Native trees to be planted along the buffer zones. These are well-known fire hazards and should never be planted anywhere where there is any risk of fire. I mentioned this in a previous email and I repeat here native Australian flora are fire traps as they are filled with highly volatile and flammable oils. These oils, and resins, remain even as the plants, bark, branches and leaves dry out, which makes them even more dangerous.

Anita Heubel Dark Corner, NSW, 2795