

PETITION OPPOSING COPPABELLA WIND FARM MODIFICATION SSD6698 ----- BECAUSE

The Impact on Biodiversity is unacceptable
Endangered Species Vegetation clearing was reduced from 226ha to 83ha to obtain the 30 March 2016 Part 4 consent, and now in the present application (modification) clearing is to be increased by 263% to 179.8ha

If the modification had been combined with the 30 March 2016 application, it would not have achieved consent – why should it now?
"Goldwind has advised that it would not be able to construct the project as approved within the existing vegetation clearing restriction"

The increased rural land use area impacted by the increased visual radius, has not been disclosed. The incremental area visually impacted will increase geometrically whereas the radius will increase arithmetically. The very substantial increased area impacted is not apparent from the radius diagram.

The visual impact of the modification is unacceptable
The proponent's studies do not take into account different effects on vision and sound of different terrain within the impacted area

SIGNATURE

NAME

ADDRESS

EMAIL

--	--	--

**PETITION OPPOSING COPPABELLA WIND FARM MODIFICATION SSD6698 ----
BECAUSE**

The Impact on Biodiversity is unacceptable

Endangered Species Vegetation clearing was reduced from 226ha to 83ha to obtain the 30 March 2016 Part 4 consent, and now in the present application (modification) clearing is to be increased by 263% to 179.8ha

If the modification had been combined with the 30 March 2016 application, it would not have achieved consent – why should it now?

"Goldwind has advised that it would not be able to construct the project as approved within the existing vegetation clearing restriction"


The increased rural land use area impacted by the increased visual radius, has not been disclosed. The incremental area visually impacted will increase geometrically whereas the radius will increase arithmetically. The very substantial increased area impacted is not apparent from the radius diagram.

The visual impact of the modification is unacceptable

The proponent's studies do not take into account different effects on vision and sound of different terrain within the impacted area

SIGNATURE NAME ADDRESS EMAIL

FAY RAE
 Barbara Fiskard
 Simla Turwell
 Saduo A
 → COLEMAN
 GEOFF FROST
 Jean Frost
 Sandy Crisp
 MILLER #6
 280 Cooks
 Kia Ora
 Matt Fiskard
 NOREEN HARVEY
 ROSEMARY HARVEY
 GRADYS REGAN
 JOHN REGAN
 WILLIAM
 VICKI RO
 Paul Regan



PETITION OPPOSING COPPABELLA WIND FARM MODIFICATION SSD6698 ----
BECAUSE

The Impact on Biodiversity is unacceptable

Endangered Species Vegetation clearing was reduced from 226ha to 83ha to obtain the 30 March 2016 Part 4 consent, and now in the present application (modification) clearing is to be increased by 263% to 179.8ha

If the modification had been combined with the 30 March 2016 application, it would not have achieved consent – why should it now?

"Goldwing has advised that it would not be able to construct the project as approved within the existing vegetation clearing restriction"

The increased rural land use area impacted by the increased visual radius, has not been disclosed. The incremental area visually impacted will increase geometrically whereas the radius will increase arithmetically. The very substantial increased area impacted is not apparent from the radius diagram.

The visual impact of the modification is unacceptable

The proponent's studies do not take into account different effects on vision and sound of different terrain within the impacted area

SIGNATURE	NAME	ADDRESS	EMAIL
[REDACTED]	MICHAEL COGGIN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	DAVID WINTERFLOOD	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	TONY FOLKARD	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Alan Cole	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Tina BRYERS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Dawn Coughlin	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Colleen Clow	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Skye Regen 40	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Wendal Lovell Cott	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Anthony	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	ck Spring	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Knight	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Phil Bobbera	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Francesca Knight	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	W. C. KOSSEIRIS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PETITION OPPOSING COPPABELLA WIND FARM MODIFICATION SSD6698 —
BECAUSE

The Impact on Biodiversity is unacceptable

Endangered Species Vegetation clearing was reduced from 226ha to 83ha to obtain the 30 March 2016 Part 4 consent, and now in the present application (modification) clearing is to be increased by 263% to 179.8ha

If the modification had been combined with the 30 March 2016 application, it would not have achieved consent – why should it now?

"Goldwind has advised that it would not be able to construct the project as approved within the existing vegetation clearing restriction"

The increased rural land use area impacted by the increased visual radius, has not been disclosed. The incremental area visually impacted will increase geometrically whereas the radius will increase arithmetically. The very substantial increased area impacted is not apparent from the radius diagram.

The visual impact of the modification is unacceptable


The proponent's studies do not take into account different effects on vision and sound of different terrain within the impacted area

SIGNATURE


NAME

ADDRESS

EMAIL



KATHY MITCHELL
Michael Middleton
Don MITCHELL
MARILYN CARRY
in Bill ARAB
Jonathan Pack
Kirston McQu
Godfrey Regen
Vicki Dale 31
Lance Wellington



**PETITION OPPOSING COPPABELLA WIND FARM
MODIFICATION SSD6698 ---- BECAUSE**

The impact on Biodiversity is unacceptable
Endangered Species Vegetation clearing was reduced from 226ha to 83ha to obtain the 30 March 2016 Part 4 consent, and now in the present application (modification) clearing is to be increased by 263% to 179.8ha

If the modification had been combined with the 30 March 2016 application, it would not have achieved consent – why should it now?
"Goldwing has advised that it would not be able to construct the project as approved within the existing vegetation clearing restriction"

The increased rural land use area impacted by the increased visual radius, has not been disclosed. The incremental area visually impacted will increase geometrically whereas the radius will increase arithmetically. The very substantial increased area impacted is not apparent from the radius diagram.

The visual impact of the modification is unacceptable
The proponent's studies do not take into account different effects on vision and sound of different terrain within the impacted area

SIGNATURE
EMAIL

NAME

ADDRESS

[Redacted Signature]

ROSEMARY MILLER

[Redacted Address]

