

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Re: Australian Technology Park
Date: Wednesday, 26 October 2016 12:20:09 PM
Attachments: [REDACTED]

Hi Mr Brown,

Thanks for the attached information on the Technology Park redevelopment. I'm disappointed that the time chosen for the public meeting is in the middle of the working day, thereby making it inaccessible to many of the residents affected by the development, including myself.

I am also concerned that the preliminary findings of your department readily accept Mirvac's plans. We'd hoped that even if residents' concerns were ignored, Council's would be taken into account. I can't understand why the Department is allowing the tallest building (Building 1) to overshadow so much residential housing, rather than transferring this additional floor space to buildings 2 and 3 which do not overlook residential housing. 9 storeys is very out of line with ALL other local buildings and will loom over the buildings at south Henderson Road. How are we going to be compensated for the loss of outlook and light? The dog park is being left in its current state, but a tower is being positioned adjacent to our homes?

Additionally, as much as I appreciate that there is parking being included in the development, experience has shown us that many workers will still drive into the office (as with the existing Channel 7/NEP building). As it is, my visitors or contractors to my property can't find a parking spot anywhere near my home during business hours and certainly not for longer than 2 hours. This development will exacerbate this exponentially and having a parking spot for every 10 workers isn't sufficient to alleviate the problem. Can additional measures be put into place to control parking? Has anyone from the department come to the area to gauge what the current parking situation is like?

I support the development of the Technology Park site, and there is certainly a poor use of the space in its current form. However, I would expect my state government to better represent my needs by countering Mirvac's plans with layouts that still accommodate their floorspace but do not impact on residents as heavily. A simple rearrangement of the heights of the buildings and/or moving the Building 1 back towards the Alexandria Hotel would achieve this.

Unfortunately I will not be able to attend the public meeting to protect my family's most valuable asset as I need to work that day (to pay it off). I hope that Council and my neighbours will adequately represent our needs.

Kind regards,
Aleksandra Wetzlich

On 21 October 2016 at 15:27, PAC Enquiries Mailbox <pac@pac.nsw.gov.au> wrote:

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please see the attached letter notifying the forthcoming Planning Assessment Commission meeting on the above proposal for your information.

Please don't hesitate to contact us if you have any questions on the Commission's process on (02) 9383 2100.

Kind regards,

Aaron Brown | Project Support Officer Secretariat

NSW Planning Assessment Commission

Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street Sydney NSW 2000

e: aaron.brown@pac.nsw.gov.au | p: 9383 2112 | f: 9383 2133 | www.pac.nsw.gov.au



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.